arXiv:1211.4146v1 [math.PR] 17 Nov 2012

Minkowski content and natural parameterization for the Schramm-Loewner evolution

Gregory F. Lawler *
University of Chicago

Mohammad A. Rezaei
University of Chicago

November 20, 2012

Abstract

We prove that the existence and nontriviality of the d-dimensional Minkowski content for the Schramm Loewner evolution (SLE_κ) with $\kappa < 8$ and $d = 1 + \frac{\kappa}{8}$. We show that this is a multiple of the natural parametrization.

1 Introduction

A number of measures on paths or clusters on two-dimensional lattices arising from critical statistical mechanical models are believed to exhibit some kind of conformal invariance in the scaling limit. Schramm introduced a one-parameter family of such processes, now called the *(chordal) Schramm-Loewner evolution with parameter* κ *(*SLE_κ*)* and showed that these give the only possible limits for conformally invariant processes in simply connected domains satisfying a certain "domain Markov property". He defined the process as a probability measure on curves from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{H}$ and then used conformal invariance to define the process in other simply connected domains.

The definition of the process in $\mathbb{H}$ uses the half-plane Loewner equation. Suppose $\gamma : (0,t] \to \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is a curve with $\gamma(0) = 0$, and let $\gamma_t = \gamma(0,t]$. Let H_t denote the unbounded component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t$. We assume that γ is non-crossing in the sense that for all $s < t$, $\gamma[s,\infty) \subset \overline{H}_s$, and $\gamma[s,t] \cap H_s$ is nonempty. Let $g_t : H_t \to \mathbb{H}$ be the unique conformal transformation with $g_t(z) - z = o(1)$ as $z \to \infty$. Then for every $a > 0$, there exists a reparametrization of the curve such that the following holds.

---

[*] Research supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-0907143.

- For $z \in \mathbb{H}$, the map $t \mapsto g_t(z)$ is a smooth flow and satisfies the Loewner differential equation
$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{a}{g_t(z) - U_t}, \quad g_0(z) = z,$$
where U_t is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$. This equation is valid up to time $T_z \in (0, \infty]$.

Under the reparametrization, the transformation g_t satisfies
$$g_t(z) = z + \frac{at}{z} + O(|z|^{-2}), \quad z \to \infty.$$

We say that the curve is *parametrized by (half-plane) capacity.* Schramm defined chordal SLE_κ to be the solution to the Loewner equation with $a = 2$ and U_t a Brownian motion with variance parameter κ. An equivalent definition (up to a linear time change) is to choose U_t to be a standard Brownian motion and $a = 2/\kappa$. It has been shown that a number of discrete random models have SLE as the scaling limit provided that the discrete models are parameterized using (discrete half-plane) capacity. Examples are loop-erased random walk for $\kappa = 2$ [8], Ising interfaces for $\kappa = 3$ [16], harmonic explorer for $\kappa = 4$ [14], percolation interfaces on the triangular lattice for $\kappa = 6$ [15], and uniform spanning trees for $\kappa = 8$ [8].

If D is a simply connected domain with distinct boundary points w_1, w_2, then chordal SLE_κ from w_1 to w_2 in D is defined by taking the conformal image of SLE_κ in the upper half plane under a transformation $F : \mathbb{H} \to D$ with $F(0) = w_1, F(\infty) = w_2$. The map F is not unique, but scale invariance of SLE in $\mathbb{H}$ shows that the distribution on paths is independent of the choice. This can be considered as a measure on the curves $F \circ \gamma$ with the induced parametrization or as a measure on curves modulo reparametrization.

While the capacity parametrization is useful for analyzing the curve, it is not the scaling limit of the "natural" parametrization of the discrete models. For example, for loop-erased walks, it is natural to parameterize by the length of the random walk. One can ask whether the curves parameterized by a normalized version of this "natural length" converge to SLE with a different parametrization. The Hausdorff dimension of the SLE paths [1] is $d = 1 + \min\{\frac{\kappa}{8}, 1\}$. It was conjectured in [7] that the "natural length" of an SLE path might be given by the d-dimensional Minkowski content defined as follows. Let
$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma_t; r) = e^{r(2-d)} \mathrm{Area}\left\{z : \mathrm{dist}(z, \gamma_t) \leq e^{-r}\right\}.$$

Then the d-dimensional content is
$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma_t) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma_t; r), \tag{1}$$
provided that the limit exists. If $\kappa \geq 8$, then $d = 2$, and the 2-dimensional Minkowski content is the same as the area and the limit clearly exists. If $\kappa < 8$, it is not at all obvious that the limit exists and is positive for $t > 0$. The main goal of this paper is to prove this.

Before stating the theorem, we will set some notational conventions for this paper. Let $0 < \kappa < 8$ and let
$$a = \frac{2}{\kappa} \in (1/4, \infty), \quad d = 1 + \frac{\kappa}{8} = 1 + \frac{1}{4a} \in (1, 2).$$

Recall that $\gamma_t = \gamma(0,t]$ and we write $\gamma = \gamma_\infty = \gamma(0,\infty)$ for the entire path of the curve. The Green's function for $\kappa < 8$ is defined by

$$G(z) = \lim_{r\to\infty} e^{r(2-d)}\, \mathbb{P}\{\mathrm{dist}(z,\gamma) \leq e^{-r}\}.$$

This limit exists (see Section 2.3) and there exists $c = c_\kappa$ such that

$$G(z) = c\,[\mathrm{Im} z]^{d-2}\,[\sin\arg z]^{4a-1}.$$

(Our definition of the Green's function differs by a multiplicative constant from that in other papers.) If $F : D \to \mathbb{H}$ is a conformal transformation with $F(0) = w_1, F(\infty) = w_2$, we define

$$G_D(z; w_1, w_2) = |F'(z)|^{2-d}\, G(F(z)).$$

There is also a two-point Green's function

$$G(z,w) = \lim_{r\to\infty} e^{2r(2-d)}\, \mathbb{P}\{\mathrm{dist}(z,\gamma) \leq e^{-r}, \mathrm{dist}(w,\gamma) \leq e^{-r}\}.$$

If $D \subset \mathbb{H}$, let

$$G(D) = \int_D G(z)\, dA(z), \quad G^2(D) = \int_D \int_D G(z,w)\, dA(z)\, dA(w),$$

where dA denotes integration with respect to area. We call $\gamma(t)$ a *double point* for the SLE_κ path if there exists $s < t$ such that $\gamma(t) \in \partial H_s$. If $0 < \kappa \leq 4$, the SLE path has no double points while they exist for $4 < \kappa < 8$. Let Doub denote the set of double points of the path.

Theorem 1.1. *If $0 < \kappa < 8$ there exists $\beta > 0$, such that if $\gamma(t)$ is an SLE_κ curve from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{H}$ parametrized by capacity, then with probability one, the following holds.*

- *For every $t > 0$, the Minkowski content*

  $$\Theta_t = \mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma_t) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma_t; r),$$

  exists.

- *The function $t \mapsto \Theta_t$ is strictly increasing and if $s < t$,*

  $$\Theta_t - \Theta_s = \mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma[s,t]).$$

- *For every $t < \infty$, $\mathrm{Cont}_d[\gamma_t \cap \mathrm{Doub}] = 0$.*

- *On every bounded interval $[0,t_0]$, Θ_t is Hölder continuous of order β.*

Moreover, if $D \subset \mathbb{H}$ is a bounded domain with piecewise smooth boundary, then

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap D)] = G(D),$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap D)^2\right] = G^2(D),$$

and if $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap D) \mid \gamma_t] = \mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma_t \cap D) + \int_D G_{H_t}(z; \gamma(t), \infty)\, dA(z),$$

The theorem allows us to define SLE_κ with the *natural parametrization* by letting

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(\sigma_t), \qquad \sigma_t = \inf\{s : \Theta_s = t\}.$$

Under this parametrization with probability one for all t,

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(\tilde{\gamma}_t) = t.$$

If $F : \mathbb{H} \to D$ with $F(0) = w_1, F(\infty) = w_2$ is a conformal transformation, then as in [6] the natural parametrization in D can be defined by saying that the time to travese $F(\tilde{\gamma}[s,t])$ is

$$\int_s^t |F'(\tilde{\gamma}(r))|^d\, dr. \tag{2}$$

If $\tilde{\gamma}[s,t] \subset \mathbb{H}$, we can see that this is the same as $\mathrm{Cont}_d[F \circ \tilde{\gamma}[s,t]]$. We expect this to be true for all D (the only question is $4 < \kappa < 8$ with D having a nonsmooth boundary).

As an example, let D be the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ and let $w_1 = 1, w_2 = -1$. In this case the map $F : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{D}$ extends analytically to $\mathbb{R}$ and there is no problem establishing that (2) equals $\mathrm{Cont}_d[F \circ \tilde{\gamma}[s,t]]$. Let $\gamma(t)$ be the SLE_κ path in $\mathbb{H}$ with the capacity parametrization, and let $\eta(t) = F(\tilde{\gamma}(t))$ which is an SLE_κ curve from 1 to -1 in $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\Theta_t = \mathrm{Cont}_d[\eta_t]$. In this case Θ_∞ is an integrable random variable with

$$\mathbb{E}[\Theta_\infty] = \int_{\mathbb{D}} G_{\mathbb{D}}(z; 1, -1)\, dA(z) < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}[\Theta_\infty \mid \eta_t] = \Theta_t + \Psi_t,$$

where

$$\Psi_t = \int_{D_t} G_{D_t}(z; \eta(t), -1)\, dA(z).$$

Since $M_t := \mathbb{E}[\Theta_\infty \mid \eta_t]$ is a martingale, we can see that Θ_t is the unique increasing process such that $\Psi_t + \Theta_t$ is a martingale. This is a Doob-Meyer decomposition.

In [7], the natural parametrization was *defined* to be the unique process Θ_t which makes $\Psi_t + \Theta_t$ a martingale. While this is a simple definition, it requires moment bounds in order to make sure that the process exists (uniqueness is easy). Indeed, it is not hard to see that $M_t(z) := G_{D_t}(z; \eta(t), -1)$ is a local martingale, and hence Ψ_t is an integral of positive local martingales. If Ψ_t were also a local martingale, then no nontrivial Θ_t could exist.

- In [7], it was shown that for $\kappa < 5.0\cdots$, the process Θ_t exists in $\mathbb{H}$ (the definition has to be modified slightly in $\mathbb{H}$ because Ψ_0 as we have defined it above is infinite— this is not very difficult). The necessary second moment bounds were obtained using the reverse Loewner flow. It was shown that for this range of κ, there exists $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(\kappa) > 0$ such that the function $t \mapsto \Theta_t$ is Hölder continuous of order α for $\alpha < \alpha_0$.
- In [10], the natural parametrization was shown to exist for all $\kappa < 8$. There the necessary two-point estimates were obtained from estimates on the two-point Green's function [1, 9]. However, the estimates were not strong enough to determine Hölder continuity of the function Θ_t.
- In [6] a new proof was given for all $\kappa < 8$ combining ideas in [7, 10] with known results about the Hölder continuity of the Schramm-Loewner evolution (with respect to the capacity parametrization). This established continuity and Hölder continuity of the natural parametrization for all κ.

Let us discuss some conclusions that we can derive. If $\Theta_t = \text{Cont}_d(\gamma_t)$, then clearly Θ_t is increasing and measurable with respect to γ_t. The conditional distribution of $\text{Cont}_d[\gamma(t,\infty)]$ given γ_t is the same as the distribution of the Minkowski content for SLE from $\gamma(t)$ to -1 in D_t. In particular, using the fact that Doub has content zero, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\Theta_\infty - \Theta_t \mid \gamma_t] = \int_{D_t} \hat{G}_t(z)\, dA(z),$$

where $\hat{G}_t(z) = G_{D_t}(z; \gamma(t), -1)$. Therefore,

$$\Theta_t + \int_{D_t} \hat{G}_t(z)\, dA(z)$$

is a martingale. Uniqueness of the Doob-Meyer decomposition shows that our Θ_t must be the same as the natural parametrization as discussed in [7, 10, 6]. Using the Minkowski content as the definition, we immediately get independence of domain as well as reversibility of the natural parametrization, that is, the time to traverse $\gamma[s,t]$ is the same as the time to traverse the path in the reverse direction.

1.1 Outline of the paper

Section 2 sets notation for the paper and reviews previous work. We define the Minkowski content in Section 2.2 and derive some simple properties. For technical reason we define a slightly smoother version of the content which is easier to analyze; here we show why it suffices to prove results about the smoother version. The Green's function for chordal SLE_κ is reviewed in Section 2.3. This is a normalized limit of the probability of getting near a point z. We also discuss estimates in [9] concerning the probability that an SLE_κ path gets close to two points. In the following subsection we discuss some of the ideas uses to prove two-point estimates; in particular, some precise forumations are made of the rough statement "after an SLE curve gets close to z it is unlikely to get close again". This section uses ideas from [5, 9].

The proof of the main result is in the remainder of the paper. Before going into specifics, let us outline the basic idea of the proof. For ease let us fix a square, say $\Gamma = [0,1) + i[1,2)$ and consider $\gamma \cap \Gamma$. For each $z \in \Gamma$ and $r > 0$, let $\tau_r(z) = \inf\{t : |\gamma(t) - z| \leq e^{-r}\}$ and let $J_r(z)$ be $e^{r(2-d)}$ times the indicator function of the event $\{\tau_r(z) < \infty\}$. Let $T_r(z)$ be the first time that the conformal radius of z in $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma(0,t]$ equals e^{-r+2}. The Koebe 1/4-theorem implies that $T_r(z) < \tau_r(z)$. By comparison with "two-sided radial" (SLE conditioned to go through z), one can show that there exists c_1 such that $\mathbb{P}\{T_r(z) < \infty\} \sim c_1 \, G(z) \, e^{r(d-2)}$. If r is large, and we view the path $\gamma[0, T_r(z)]$ near z, then locally it appears like a path with the distribution of two-sided radial SLE. Using this, one can see that

$$\mathbb{P}\{J_r(z) > 0 \mid T_r(z) < \infty\} = \rho + o(1), \quad r \to \infty,$$

where ρ is independent of z, and using this in turn, we get a one-point estimate

$$\mathbb{E}[J_r(z)] = c_1 \, \rho \, G(z) + o(1), \tag{3}$$

If we fix $\delta > 0$, we can see similarly that there exists ρ' such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{J_{r+\delta}(z) > 0 \mid T_r(z) < \infty\} = \rho' + o(1), \quad r \to \infty,$$

and by using (3), we see that $\rho' = e^{\delta(2-r)} \, \rho$. In other words, $\mathbb{E}[J_{r+\delta}(z) - J_r(z)] = o(1)$. The conditional distribution of $J_{r+\delta}(z) - J_r(z)$ given $\gamma[0, T_r(z)]$ is determined (up to a small error) by the way the curve γ looks near $\gamma(T_r(z))$, and this latter distribution is understood through two-sided radial SLE_κ. If z, w are not very close together and the SLE curve gets close to both z and w, we might hope (and, indeed, this is what we show) that the local behavior of γ near $\gamma(T_r(z))$ and near $\gamma(T_r(w))$ are almost independent. The upshot of this is that if we consider the random variable

$$Y_r = \int_\Gamma [J_{r+\delta}(z) - J_r(z)] \, dA(z),$$

then $\mathbb{E}[Y_r^2]$ is small. If we can show that $\mathbb{E}[Y_r^2] \leq c \, e^{-\beta r}$, then we can show that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \int_\Gamma J_r(z) \, dA(z)$$

exists as a limit in L^2 and with probability one.

This outline is carried out in Section 3.1 assuming a moment bound, Theorem 3.2 which is proved later. The proof essentially follows the outline above using a smoothed version of J_r. This establishes with probability one that $\mathrm{Cont}_d[\gamma \cap \Gamma]$ exists for every dyadic square Γ. Section 3.2 uses this to prove the statements in Theorem 1.1, again leaving one fact for the last section.

The main estimates are proved in the final section. Section 4.2 analyzes the one-point estimate, that is, the estimates for getting close to a single point z. A key to the two-point estimate is to understand the one-point estimate very well. For ease we consider SLE in the disk between boundary points and choose the origin to be the target point. Two-sided radial, which is an example of what are sometimes called $SLE(\kappa, \rho)$ processes, describes chordal SLE "conditioned to go through z". It can be analyzed by a one-dimensional SDE. We use this to study SLE conditioned to get near z. To do the two-point estimate, we start in Section 2.4 by reviewing the basic idea that after one gets close to a point, one tends not to return to it. This statement requires care to make precise. In the final section we complete the proof giving a rigorous version of the rough outline above.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notations and distortion

We fix $\kappa < 8$ and allow all constants to depend implicitly on κ. Recall that $a = 2/\kappa$ and $d = 1 + \frac{\kappa}{8}$. If γ is an SLE_κ curve from w_1 to w_2 in a simply connected domain D, we write $\gamma_t = \gamma(0, t] = \{\gamma(s) : 0 < s \leq t\}$.

If n, j, k are integers, we write $\Gamma_n(j, k)$ for the dyadic square

$$\Gamma_n(j, k) = [j2^{-n}, (j + 1)2^{-n}) \times i\,[k2^{-n}, (k + 1)2^{-n}).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{Q}_n = \{\Gamma_n(j, k) : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0\}, \quad \mathcal{Q}_n^+ = \{\Gamma_n(j, k) \in \mathcal{Q}_n : k > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Q}_n, \quad \mathcal{Q}^+ = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Q}_n^+.$$

For each n, $\mathcal{Q}_n$ is a partition of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Another useful partition is given by

$$\{\Gamma_m(j, 1) : m \leq n, j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{\Gamma_n(j, 0), j \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

One partition of $\mathbb{H}$ is

$$\mathcal{Q}^1 := \{\Gamma_n(j, 1) : j \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We will need the following simple distortion estimate.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists $c < \infty, \rho < 1$ such that the following holds. Suppose D is a simply connected domain containing the origin and $g : D \to \mathbb{D}$ is a conformal transformation with $g(0) = 0$. Then,*

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq |g'(0)| \operatorname{dist}(0, \partial D) \leq 1,$$

$$|g(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{\operatorname{dist}(0, \partial D)}.$$

Proof. By scaling and rotation we may assume that $g'(0) = 1$ and hence that $f = g^{-1}$ satisfies $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1$. The Koebe (1/4)-theorem implies that $f(\mathbb{D})$ contains the disk of radius 1/4 about the origin. If $b = \mathrm{dist}(0, \partial D)$, then the function $h(z) = g(bz)$ is a conformal transformation of $\mathbb{D}$ into the $\mathbb{D}$ and hence the Schwarz lemma implies that $|g(bz)| \leq |h(z)| \leq |z|$. ☐

2.2 Minkowski content

The d-dimensional Minkowski content is one way to "measure" the size of a d-dimensional fractal. We use the quotes because the content is not technically a measure. Its definition is in some ways more natural than d-dimensional Hausdorff measure; however, it has the disadvantage that it is defined in terms of a limit that does not always exist. We will restrict our consideration to $1 < d < 2$ and $V \subset D$ where D is a bounded subdomain of $\mathbb{C}$ with smooth boundary.

Let

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(V; r) = e^{r(2-d)} \, \mathrm{Area}\{z : \mathrm{dist}(z, V) \leq e^{-r}\} = e^{r(2-d)} \int_{\mathbb{C}} 1\{\mathrm{dist}(z, V) \leq e^{-r}\} \, dA(z).$$

Here, and throughout this paper, dA denotes integration with respect to two-dimensional Lebesgue measure. The *upper and lower d-dimensional Minkowski contents* are defined by

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d^+(V; r) = \sup_{s \geq r} \mathrm{Cont}_d(V; s), \quad \mathrm{Cont}_d^+(V) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d^+(V; r).$$

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d^-(V; r) = \inf_{s \geq r} \mathrm{Cont}_d(V; s), \quad \mathrm{Cont}_d^-(V) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d^-(V; r).$$

The *d-dimensional Minkowski content* (if it exists) is defined if $\mathrm{Cont}_d^+(V) = \mathrm{Cont}_d^-(V)$ in which case

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(V) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d(V; r).$$

Since $d > 1$, and ∂D is smooth,

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(V) = \lim_{r \to \infty} e^{r(2-d)} \int_D 1\{\mathrm{dist}(z, V) \leq e^{-r}\} \, dA(z),$$

with each side well defined if and only if the other side is well defined.

Because the content is not additive, we have to be careful when taking unions and limits. This simple lemma will list the properties that we need.

Lemma 2.2.

- *If $s \geq 0$, then*

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(V; r + s) \leq e^{s(2-d)} \, \mathrm{Cont}_d(V; r). \tag{4}$$

- *If* $\mathrm{Cont}_d(V), \mathrm{Cont}_d(V')$ *exist and* $\mathrm{dist}(V, V') > 0$*, then* $\mathrm{Cont}_d(V \cup V')$ *exists and*
$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(V \cup V') = \mathrm{Cont}_d(V) + \mathrm{Cont}_d(V'). \tag{5}$$
- *If* $\mathrm{Cont}_d(V)$ *exists, then*
$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(V) \leq \mathrm{Cont}_d^+(V \cup V') \leq \mathrm{Cont}_d(V) + \mathrm{Cont}_d^+(V').$$
- *Suppose* $V_1, V_2, \ldots$ *are bounded sets for which* $\mathrm{Cont}_d(V_n)$ *is well defined. Let* V *be a bounded set such that*
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[\mathrm{Cont}_d^+(V \setminus V_n) + \mathrm{Cont}_d^+(V_n \setminus V)\right] = 0.$$
Then $\mathrm{Cont}_d(V)$ *exists and*
$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(V) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d(V_n). \tag{6}$$

Proof. We leave this to the reader. The last conclusion uses
$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(V_n; r) - \mathrm{Cont}_d(V_n \setminus V; r) \leq \mathrm{Cont}_d(V; r) \leq \mathrm{Cont}_d(V_n; r) + \mathrm{Cont}_d(V \setminus V_n; r).$$
□

For technical reasons it is convenient to approximate the indicator function by a continuous function. If $\lambda > 0$ we define
$$\mathrm{Cont}_d{}^{\lambda}(V; r) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^{\lambda} \mathrm{Cont}_d(V; r - s)\, ds = \int_{\mathbb{C}} J_r^{\lambda}(z)\, dA(z),$$
where
$$J_r^{\lambda}(z) = \frac{e^{r(2-d)}}{\lambda} \int_0^{\lambda} e^{s(d-2)}\, 1\{\mathrm{dist}(z, V) \leq e^{s-r}\}\, ds, \tag{7}$$
and
$$\mathrm{Cont}_d{}^{\lambda}(V) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}} J_r^{\lambda}(z)\, dA(z) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_D J_r^{\lambda}(z)\, dA(z),$$
with the left-hand side existing if and only if either of the limits exist (if either limit exists, then so does the other one with the same value). If $\mathrm{Cont}_d(V)$ exists, then it is easy to see that for all $\lambda > 0$, $\mathrm{Cont}_d{}^{\lambda}(V)$ exists and equals $\mathrm{Cont}_d(V)$. The next lemma gives a partial converse to this.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose there exists a sequence* $\lambda_n \downarrow 0$ *such that* $\mathrm{Cont}_d{}^{\lambda_n}(V) = m_n$ *exists and is finite. Then the Minkowski content* $\mathrm{Cont}_d(V) = m$ *exists and* $m_n = m$ *for all* n.

Proof. Note that

$$e^{\lambda(d-2)}\,J^\lambda_{r+\lambda}(z) \leq e^{r(2-d)}\,1\{\mathrm{dist}(z,V) \leq e^{-r}\} \leq e^{\lambda(2-d)}\,J^\lambda_r(z),$$

Hence for each n,

$$e^{\lambda_n(d-2)}\,m_n \leq \mathrm{Cont}_d^-(V) \leq \mathrm{Cont}_d^+(V) \leq e^{\lambda_n(2-d)}\,m_n.$$

Suppose there exists $K < \infty$ such that $m_n \leq K$ for all n. Letting $n \to \infty$, we see that $\mathrm{Cont}_d^-(V) = \mathrm{Cont}_d^+(V)$ and hence $\mathrm{Cont}_d(V)$ exists. We have already noted that this implies that $\mathrm{Cont}_d{}^\lambda(V) = m$ for all $\lambda > 0$. If the m_n are not uniformly bounded, then there exists a subsequence $m_{n_k} \to \infty$. The left inequality applied to n_k implies that $\mathrm{Cont}_d^-(V) = \infty$, and then the right inequality implies that $m_n = \infty$ for all n. ☐

2.3 Green's function

The Green's function for chordal SLE_κ is the normalized probability that the path gets near a point z. By nature it is defined up to a multiplicative constant and we choose the constant in a way that will be convenient for us. The precise definition uses the following theorem. If D is a simply connected domain and $z \in D$ we let $\mathrm{crad}_D(z)$ denote the conformal radius of z in D, that is, if $f : \mathbb{D} \to D$ is a conformal transformation with $f(0) = z$, then $\mathrm{crad}_D(z) = |f'(z)|$.

Theorem 2.4. *For every $\kappa < 8$, there exists $c', \hat{c} = \hat{c}(\kappa), \alpha < \infty$ such that if $w = e^{2i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$ and γ is a chordal SLE_κ path from 1 to w in $\mathbb{D}$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathrm{crad}_D(0) \leq e^{-r}\} = c'\,[\sin\theta]^{4a-1}\,e^{r(d-2)}\,[1 + O(e^{-\alpha r})].$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathrm{dist}(0, \partial D) \leq e^{-r}\} = \hat{c}\,[\sin\theta]^{4a-1}\,e^{r(d-2)}\,[1 + O(e^{-\alpha r})].$$

Here D denotes the connected component of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \gamma$ containing the origin.

Proof. For the first expression, see for example, [9]. The proof gives an explicit form for c' but we will not need it. The second was proved in [6], but we reprove it here in Proposition 4.3. This proof does not give an explicit expression for the constant $\hat{c}$. ☐

To be precise, let $\mathbb{P}_\theta$ denote the probability distribution on paths $\gamma = \gamma[0,\infty)$ given by chordal SLE_κ from 0 to $e^{2i\theta}$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Then exist $c', \hat{c}, \alpha, c$, depending only on κ, such that for all θ and all $r \leq 1/2$,

$$\left|e^{r(2-d)}\,[\sin\theta]^{1-4a}\,\mathbb{P}_\theta\{\mathrm{crad}_D(0) \leq e^{-r}\} - c'\right| \leq c\,e^{-\alpha r},$$

$$\left|e^{r(2-d)}\,[\sin\theta]^{1-4a}\,\mathbb{P}_\theta\{\mathrm{dist}(0,\gamma) \leq e^{-r}\} - \hat{c}\right| \leq c\,e^{-\alpha r}.$$

A similar theorem to Theorem 2.4 replacing distance with conformal radius is easier to prove, see for example, [9]. From this earlier theorem and the Koebe (1/4)-theorem, we can easily deduce the following estimates if γ is an SLE_κ path from from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{H}$.:

- If $\mathrm{Im}(z) \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathrm{dist}(z, \gamma) \leq e^{-r}\} \asymp [\mathrm{Im}(z)]^{d-2} \, [\sin \arg z]^{4a-1} \, e^{r(d-2)}. \tag{8}$$

- If $x > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathrm{dist}(x, \gamma) \leq e^{-r}\} \leq c \, [e^{-r}/x]^{4a-1}. \tag{9}$$

If w_1, w_2 are distinct boundary points of a simply connected domain D, we write $S_D(z; w_1, w_2)$ for the sine of the argument of z with respect to w_1, w_2, that is, if $F : D \to \mathbb{H}$ is a conformal transformation with $F(w_1) = 0, F(w_2) = \infty$, then $F(z; w_1, w_2) = \sin[\arg F(z)]$. Note that $S_D(z; w_1, w_2)$ is a conformal invariant and $\mathrm{crad}_D(z)$ is conformally covariant, $\mathrm{crad}_{f(D)}(f(z)) = |f'(z)| \, \mathrm{crad}_D(z)$. The *chordal Green's function* is defined by

$$G_D(z; w_1, w_2) = \hat{c} \, \mathrm{crad}_D(z)^{d-2} \, S_D(z; w_1, w_2)^{4a-1}. \tag{10}$$

The definition here differs from the definition elsewhere (for example in [9]) by a multiplicative constant. Previously it was defined so that $G_{\mathbb{H}}(z; 0, \infty) = \mathrm{Im}(z)^{d-2} \sin[\arg z]^{4a-1} = [\mathrm{crad}_{\mathbb{H}}(z)/2]^{d-2} \, S_{\mathbb{H}}(z; 0, \infty)^{4a-1}$. The Green's function satisfies the conformal covariance rule

$$G_D(z; w_1, w_2) = |f'(z)|^{2-d} \, G_{f(D)}(f(z); f(w_1), f(w_2)).$$

We choose the definition (10) so that we do not need to keep writing the constant $\hat{c}$. Theorem 2.4 extends immediately to other simply connected domains by conformal invariance of SLE.

Theorem 2.5. *If $\kappa < 8$, γ is a chordal SLE_κ path from w_1 to w_2 in a simply connected domain D, then for $z \in D$ with* $\mathrm{dist}(z, \partial D) \geq 2e^{-r}$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathrm{dist}(z, \gamma) \leq e^{-r}\} = G_D(z; w_1, w_2) \, e^{r(d-2)} \, [1 + O(e^{-\alpha r}))].$$

Most of our computations will be in the upper half plane or in the disk. For notational ease we will write

$$G(z) = G_{\mathbb{H}}(z; 0, \infty), \qquad G(z; \theta) = G_{\mathbb{D}}(z; 1, e^{i2\theta}).$$

If $V \subset \mathbb{H}$, we define

$$G(V) = \int_V G(z) \, dA(z).$$

Note that if $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ and z is the center point of Γ, then

$$G(\Gamma) \asymp 2^{-2n} \, G(z).$$

The other estimates we need will deal with upper bounds for the probabilities that the SLE curves gets close to two different points z, w. For the remainder of this section, we assume that γ is an SLE curve from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{H}$. If $z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$, we let

$$\tau_r(z) = \inf \left\{ t : |\gamma(t) - z| \leq e^{-r} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.6. *There exists $\alpha > 0, c < \infty$ such that if $|z|, |w| \geq e^{-u}$ and $|z-w| \geq e^{-u}$, then for $0 < s < r$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{s+u}(w) < \infty, \tau_{r+u}(z) < \infty\} \leq c\, e^{(s+r)(d-2)}, \tag{11}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{s+u}(w) < \tau_{r+u}(z) < \tau_{r+u}(w) < \infty\} \leq c\, e^{2r(d-2)}\, e^{-\alpha s}. \tag{12}$$

By scaling it suffices to prove the theorem for $u = 0$. What [9, Lemma 4.10] shows is that for $s > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{r+1}(z) < \infty, \operatorname{dist}\left[w, \gamma_{\tau_{r+1}(z)}\right] < e^{-s} \operatorname{dist}\left[w, \gamma_{\tau_r(z)}\right] \mid \gamma_{\tau_r(z)}\right\}$$

$$\leq c \operatorname{dist}\left[w, \gamma_{\tau_r(z)}\right]^{\alpha} e^{s(d-2)},$$

from which (11) and (12) can be deduced. Given this estimate one also shows that if $\operatorname{Im}(z), \operatorname{Im}(w) \geq 1$ with $|z-w| \leq 1$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_r(z) < \infty, \tau_r(w) < \infty\} \leq c\, e^{2r(d-2)}\, |z-w|^{d-2}. \tag{13}$$

In [9], it was shown that the limit

$$\lim_{\epsilon.\delta\downarrow 0} \epsilon^{d-2}\, \delta^{d-2}\, \mathbb{P}\{\operatorname{crad}_{\mathbb{H}\setminus\gamma}(z_1) \leq \epsilon, \operatorname{crad}_{\mathbb{H}\setminus\gamma}(z_2) \leq \delta\}.$$

exists and defines a two-point Green's function. In Section 4.2 we show how to adapt this argument to show existence of

$$G(z_1, z_2) = \lim_{\epsilon.\delta\downarrow 0} \epsilon^{d-2}\, \delta^{d-2}\, \mathbb{P}\{\operatorname{dist}(z_1, \gamma)(z_1) \leq \epsilon, \operatorname{dist}(z_2, \gamma) \leq \delta\}. \tag{14}$$

In fact, we can write $G(z_1, z_2) = \hat{G}(z_1, z_2) + \hat{G}(z_2, z_1)$ where

$$G(z, w) = G(z)\, \mathbb{E}^*\left[G_{H_T}(w; z, \infty)\right],$$

where $\mathbb{E}^*$ denotes expectation with respect to two-sided radial SLE_κ from 0 to z stopped at

$$T = \inf\{t : \gamma(t) = z\}.$$

We finish this subsection with an example of a bounded domain with $1, -1 \in \partial D$ such that

$$\int_D G_D(z; 1, -1)\, dA(z) = \infty. \tag{15}$$

We will use a standard construction of a comb domain. Let $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$ be a strictly decreasing sequence with $\epsilon_n \leq 1/4$ and let $\delta_n = \epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}$. For odd n, let

$$J_n = \left\{\epsilon_n - 1 + iy : -\sqrt{1 - (\epsilon_n - 1)^2} < y \leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - (\epsilon_n - 1)^2}\right\},$$

for even n let

$$J_n = \left\{ \epsilon_n - 1 + iy : -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-(\epsilon_n-1)^2} \leq y < \sqrt{1-(\epsilon_n-1)^2} \right\},$$

and let

$$D = \mathbb{D} \setminus \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n \right].$$

Note that $\sqrt{1-(\epsilon_n-1)^2} \sim \epsilon_n$. Let V_n be the subset of D given by

$$\{x+iy : \epsilon_{n+1} + (\delta_n/4) \leq x \leq \epsilon_n - (\delta_n/4), \; -\epsilon_n/4 \leq y \leq \epsilon_n/4\}.$$

If $F : D \to \mathbb{H}$ is a conformal transformation with $F(1) = 0, F(\infty) = -1$, then $F(J_n) \subset (0,\infty)$ for every n and $F(J_n) \subset (-\infty, 0)$ for odd n. Hence, we can see that $S_D(z; 1, -1) \asymp 1$ for $z \in V_n$. Since $\operatorname{crad}_D(z) \asymp \delta_n$ for $z \in V_n$, we have $G_D(z; 1, -1) \asymp \delta_n^{d-2}$, and

$$\int_{V_n} G_D(z; 1, -1)\, dA(z) \asymp \delta_n^{d-2} \operatorname{Area}(V_n) \asymp \delta_n^{d-1}.$$

If we choose δ_n such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n^{d-1} = \infty,$$

then (15) holds.

2.4 Two-point estimates

A basic principle in proving two-point estimates for SLE is the idea that if a path gets very close to a point z and then gets away from z, then it is unlikely to get even closer to z. While this is the heuristic, as just stated the principle is not always valid. Since this idea is important in several of our proofs, we will spend some time to make it precise. We are expanding on ideas in [5, 6]. Let γ be an SLE_κ curve from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{H}$, $z \in \mathbb{H}$. If $z \in \mathbb{H}$, let

$$\tau_r(z) = \inf\{t : |\gamma(t) - z| = e^{-r}\}.$$

If $\tau_r = \tau_r(z) < \infty$, let $H = H_{\tau_r}$ denote the unbounded component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_{\tau_r}$, and let $\mathcal{B}_u = \mathcal{B}_u(r, z)$ denote the disk of radius e^{-ur} containing z and let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1$ denote the disk of radius e^{-r} about z. Let $V_u = V_u(r, z)$ denote the connected component of $\mathcal{B}_u \cap H$ containing z. If $u \leq 1$, the intersection of ∂V_u with H is a disjoint union of open arcs in $\partial \mathcal{B}_u$ each of whose endpoints is in ∂H. There is a unique such arc l, that we denote by $l_u = l_u(r, z)$, such that z is contained in the bounded component of $H \setminus l$. Simple connectedness of H is used to see that this arc is unique. However, one may note the following facts.

- The bounded component of $H \setminus l_u$ does not need to be contained in $\mathcal{B}_u$. Indeed, we have no universal bound on the diameter of the bounded component.

- There may be other subarcs l of $\partial \mathcal{B}_u \cap H$ such that z is in the bounded component of $H \setminus l$. However, these arcs are not on ∂V_u.

Let $0 < u \leq 1$, let

$$\sigma = \sigma_u(r,z) = \inf\left\{t \geq \tau_r : \gamma(t) \in \overline{l_u}\right\},$$

and let $l_u^* = l_u^*$ denote the open subarc of l_u that is a crosscut of H_σ separating z from infinity. Then a correct, although still imprecise, version of our heuristic principle is: if $\tau_r < \infty$, then after time σ the path is unlikely to get closer to z. We will now be more precise. If $\tau_r < \infty$, define $\lambda = \lambda(r,z,u) \geq 1$ by

$$\operatorname{dist}[z, \gamma_\sigma] = e^{-\lambda r}.$$

Let l_λ^* denote the connected subarc of $\partial \mathcal{B}_\lambda \cap H_\sigma$ that separates z from infinity. (If the intersection of γ_σ with $\mathcal{B}_\lambda$ is a single point, which we expect to be the case with probability one, then l_λ^* is a circle with a single point deleted.)

Lemma 2.7. *There exists c such that for all $0 < u \leq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\operatorname{dist}[z, \gamma_\infty] < \operatorname{dist}[z, \gamma_\sigma] \mid \gamma_\sigma\} \leq c\, e^{\alpha(u-\lambda)r}, \tag{16}$$

where $\alpha = (4a-1)/2 > 0$.

Proof. Let $g : H_\sigma \to \mathbb{H}$ be a conformal transformation with $g(\gamma(\sigma)) = 0, g(\infty) = \infty$. The image $\eta = g \circ l_u^*$ is a crosscut of $\mathbb{H}$ with one endpoint on the origin and one on the real line which without loss of generality we will assume is on the positive real line. The curve $\eta' = g \circ l_\lambda^*$ is a crosscut of $\mathbb{H}$ contained in the bounded component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \eta$ with positive endpoints $x_1 \leq x_2$. Let us consider the conformal rectangle given by the component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus (\eta \cup \eta')$ that contains both η and η' on its boundary and with η, η' as two of the boundary arcs of the rectangle. The excursion measure between η and η' in this rectangle is the same as the excursion measure between l_u^* and l_λ^* for the corresponding rectangle in $H_\sigma \setminus (l_u^* \cup l_\lambda^*)$. The Beurling estimate (see, e.g., [Theorem 3.76]Law1) implies that the latter is bounded above by $c\, e^{-(\lambda-u)r/2}$. Since η separates η' from the negative real line, we see that the excursion measure between η' and $(-\infty, 0]$ in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \eta'$ is bounded above by $c\, e^{-(\lambda-u)r/2}$. By standard estimates this shows that $\operatorname{diam}(\eta') \leq c\, e^{-(\lambda-u)r/2}\, x_1$, and hence by (9), the probability that an SLE path hits it is $O(e^{-(\lambda-u)(4a-1)r/2})$. $\square$

We prove the next lemma only for $\kappa \leq 4$; we do not know if it is true for $4 < \kappa < 8$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1$ denote the disk of radius e^{-r} about z.

Lemma 2.8. *If $\kappa \leq 4$, there exists c such that if $0 < u \leq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\gamma[\sigma, \infty) \cap \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset \mid \gamma_\sigma\} \leq c\, e^{\alpha(u-1)r}, \tag{17}$$

where $\alpha = (4a-1)/2 > 0$.

Proof. Let V denote the unbounded component of $H_\sigma \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}}$ and note that $l_u^* \subset V$. Then $\partial V \cap H_\sigma \cap \partial\mathcal{B}$ is a disjoint (finite or countable) union of open subarcs of $\partial\mathcal{B}$, which we denote by $L_1, L_2, \ldots$. Note that $L = \cup L_j$. Let h_j denote the angular length of L_j, that is to say, if $L_j = \{z + e^{-r+i\theta}, \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2\}$, then $h_j = \theta_2 - \theta_1$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} L_j \leq 2\pi.$$

The probability on the left-hand side of (17) is the probability that $\gamma[\sigma,\infty) \cap L \neq \emptyset$. Let g, η be as in the previous proof, and let $\eta_j = g \circ L_j$. For fixed j, consider the conformal rectangle given by the component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus (\eta \cup \eta_j)$ that contains η, η_j on its boundary and with η, η_j as two boundary arcs of the rectangle. Then the excursion measure is the same as the that between L_j and l_u^* in H_σ. This latter measure is $O(h_j\, e^{r(u-1)/2})$. (To see this, consider Brownian motions starting on l_u^*. The probability to get to the circle of radius e^{1-r} about z without leaving H_σ is $O(e^{(u-1)r/2})$ by the Beurling estimate. The Poisson kernel in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}}$ shows that the conditional probability that it leaves H_σ at L_j is $O(h_j)$.) As in the previous proof, by (9),

$$\mathbb{P}\{\gamma[\sigma,\infty) \cap L_j \neq \emptyset \mid \gamma_\sigma\} \leq c\, e^{\alpha(u-1)r}\, h_j^{4a-1}. \tag{18}$$

The argument up to this point has not used the fact that $\kappa \leq 4$. However, if $\kappa \leq 4$, we know that $4a - 1 \geq 1$ and hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j^{4a-1} \leq \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j\right]^{4a-1} \leq (2\pi)^{4a-1}.$$

Therefore, by summing (18) over j we get (17). □

While we do not know if the last lemma holds for $\kappa > 4$, the next lemma will suffice for our needs.

Lemma 2.9. *If* $4 < \kappa < 8$, *there exist* $c < \infty, \beta > 0$ *such that if* $\tau_r < \infty$ *and* $0 < u \leq 1$, *then*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{B} \cap H_\sigma \neq \emptyset \mid \gamma_{\tau_r}\} \leq c\, e^{\beta(u-1)r}.$$

Proof. Let $\zeta = \gamma(\tau_r)$. Let g be a conformal transformation of H onto $\mathbb{H}$ with $g(\zeta) = 0, g(\infty) = \infty$. Let $\eta = g \circ l_u, \eta' = g \circ [\partial\mathcal{B} \setminus \{\zeta\}]$. Then η is a crosscut of $\mathbb{H}$ with one endpoint positive and one endpoint negative, and η' is a simple loop rooted at the origin lying in the bounded component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \eta$. By choosing a multiple of g if necessary, we may assume that $\max\{|w| : w \in \eta'\} = 1$.

We claim that there exists c' such that $\mathrm{dist}(0,\eta) \geq c' e^{(u-1)r/2}$. To see this, consider the conformal rectangle $V_u \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}}$ with boundary arcs $\partial\mathcal{B}$ and l_u. Let ∂^1, ∂^2 denote the other two boundary arcs considered as prime ends. Let B_t denote a Browni

n motion and $T = \inf\{t : B_t \notin V_u\}$. Then the Beurling estimate (see ???) shows that for $w \in \partial\mathcal{B}$,

$$\mathbb{P}^w\{B_T \in l_u\} \leq c\, e^{(u-1)r/2} \min\{\mathbb{P}^w\{B_T \in \partial_1\}, \mathbb{P}^w\{B_T \in \partial_2\}\}.$$

By conformal invariance, if

$$T_\eta = \inf\{t : B_t \in \eta\}, \quad T = \inf\{t : B_t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

then there exists w with $|w| = 1$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^w\{T_\eta < T\} \le c\, e^{(u-1)r/2} \min\left\{\mathbb{P}^w\left\{B_T \in (0,\infty)\right\}, \mathbb{P}^w\left\{B_T \in (-\infty, 0)\right\}\right\}.$$

Using the gambler's ruin estimate, we see that for $|w| = 1$, the right-hand side is comparable to $ce^{(u-1)r/2}\,\mathrm{Im}(w)$. Also, since η is a crosscut, we can see that the left-hand side is greater than a constant times $\mathrm{Im}(w)/\mathrm{dist}(0,\eta)$. This establishes the claim.

By conformal invariance, $\mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{B} \cap H_\sigma \neq \emptyset \mid \gamma_{\tau_r}\}$ is bounded above by the probability that an SLE_κ path starting at the origin has not separated the unit circle from infinity before it reaches the circle of radius $c' e^{(1-u)r/2}$. Using scaling and the fact that SLE_κ has double points, it is not hard to show that this is $O(e^{\beta(u-1)r})$ for some r. ☐

Corollary 2.10. *If $\kappa < 8$, there exists c such that if $|z| > e^{-r/2}$ and $0 < u \le 1$, then if $\tau_r < \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\gamma[\sigma,\infty) \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}} \neq \emptyset \mid \gamma_{\tau_r}\} \le c\, e^{\beta(u-1)r},$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_r < \infty, \gamma[\sigma,\infty) \cap \overline{\mathcal{B}} \neq \emptyset\right\} \le c\, G(z)\, e^{(d-2)r}\, e^{\beta(u-1)r}.$$

We finish by proving a lemma. A stronger result can be found in [6]. However, since we only need this weaker result we include a proof. We use the following fact which is similar to Theorem 2.4. Let $\rho_s = \inf\{t : |\gamma(t) - 1| \le e^{-s}$. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\rho_s < \infty\} \le c\, e^{s(1-4a)}. \tag{19}$$

Lemma 2.11. *There exists $\alpha > 0, c < \infty$ such that if $\mathrm{Im}(z) \ge e^{-u}, x \in \mathbb{R}, x \ge e^{-m}$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{r+u}(z) < \infty, \tau_{s+m+u}(x) < \infty\} \le c\, e^{r(d-2)}\, e^{-\alpha s}.$$

Proof. By scaling we may assume that $u = 0$ and hence $\mathrm{Im}(z) \ge 1$. We know from Theorem 2.4 that $\mathbb{P}\{\tau_r(z) < \infty\} \le c\, e^{r(d-2)}$ and from (19) that $\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{s+m}(x) < \infty\} \le c\, e^{s(1-4a)}$. If $r \le s$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_r(z) < \infty, \tau_{s+m}(x) < \infty\} \le \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{s+m}(x) < \infty\} \le c\, e^{r(d-2)}\, e^{-s\alpha},$$

where $\alpha = 4a - 1 - (2-d) > 0$. Hence, we may assume that $5 \le s \le r$.

Let us consider the event

$$A_r = A_{r,s,m,z,x} = \{\tau_{r-2}(z) < \tau_{m+s}(x) < \tau_{r-1}(z) < \infty\}.$$

We claim that there exists β such that

$$\mathbb{P}(A_r) \le c\, e^{r(d-2)}\, e^{-r\beta}.$$

To see this, let C denote the crosscut of $\mathbb{H}$ given by the half-circle of radius $e^{-(s+m)}$ about x. We consider two possibilities: $B[\tau_{3r/4}, \tau_{r-2}] \not\subset \mathcal{B}_{1/2}$ and $B[\tau_{3r/4}, \tau_{r-2}] \subset \mathcal{B}_{1/2}$. As stated above, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{r-2} < \infty, B[\tau_{3r/4}, \tau_{r-2}] \not\subset \mathcal{B}_{1/2}\} \leq c\, e^{r(d-2)}\, e^{-\beta r}.$$

However, if $B[\tau_{3r/4}, \tau_{r-2}] \subset \mathcal{B}_{1/2}$, then C lies in the unbounded component of $H \setminus l_{3/4}$, and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.7,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{r-2}(z) < \tau_{s+m}(x) < \tau_{r-1}(z)\} \leq c\, e^{r(d-2)}\, e^{-\beta r}.$$

Let E_k be the event

$$E_k = A_{k,r,s,m,z,x} = \{\tau_{k-1}(z) < \tau_{m+s}(x) < \tau_k(z) < \tau_r(z) < \infty\}.$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{P}[E_k \mid \tau_{k-1}(z) < \tau_{m+s}(x) < \tau_k(z) < \infty] \leq c\, e^{(r-k)(d-2)}.$$

The estimate in the last paragraph gives

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{k-1}(z) < \tau_{m+s}(x) < \tau_k(z) < \infty\} \leq c\, e^{k(d-2)}\, e^{-\beta k}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{P}(E_k) \leq c\, e^{-\beta k}\, e^{r(d-2)}$. By summing over k, we see that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_s(z) < \tau_{m+s}(x) < \tau_r(z) < \infty\} \leq c\, e^{-\beta s}\, e^{r(d-2)}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{m+s}(x) \leq \tau_s(z) < \tau_r(z) < \infty\} \\ &\quad \leq \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{m+s}(x) < \infty\}\, \mathbb{P}\{\tau_r(z) < \infty \mid \tau_{m+s}(x) \leq \tau_s(z)\} \\ &\quad \leq c\, e^{s(1-4a)}\, e^{(r-s)\,(d-2)} \\ &\quad = c\, e^{-k\alpha}\, e^{r\,(d-2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = (4a-1) - (2-d) > 0$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{m+s}(x) < \tau_r(z) < \infty\} \leq c\, e^{-s\beta}\, e^{r(d-2)}. \tag{20}$$

To estimate $\mathbb{P}\{\tau_r(z) < \tau_{m+s}(x) < \infty\}$ we write it as

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{m+(s/2)}(x) < \tau_r(z) < \tau_{m+s}(x) < \infty\} + \mathbb{P}\{\tau_r(z) < \tau_{m+(s/2)}(x) < \tau_{m+s}(x) < \infty\}.$$

By (20), the first term is bounded above by $c\, e^{-s\beta/2}\, e^{r(d-2)}$. The second term can be bounded by

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_r(z) < \infty\}\, \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{m+s}(x) < \infty \mid \tau_r(z) < \tau_{m+(s/2)}(x)\}.$$

In this product the first term is bounded by $c\, e^{r(d-2)}$ and we can use (19) to see that the second term is bounded by $c\, e^{s(1-4a)/2}$.

$\square$

3 Existence of Minkowski content

3.1 Main theorem

If $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ as defined in Section 2.1, and γ is an SLE_κ curve from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{H}$, let

$$Z(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Cont}_d^+(\gamma \cap \Gamma; n \log 2).$$

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $\kappa < 8$ and γ is an SLE_κ curve from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{H}$. Then with probability one, the following holds for all $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}^+$.*

- *The limit*

  $$\mu(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap \Gamma) = \lim_{r \to \infty} e^{r(d-2)} \, \mathrm{Area}\{z : \mathrm{dist}(z, \gamma \cap \Gamma) \le e^{-r}\}$$

  exists.

- *If $0 < \lambda \le 1/10$, then*

  $$\mu(\Gamma) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_\Gamma J_r^\lambda(z) \, dA(z),$$

  where the limit is both in L^2 and with probability one.

- *If $\partial_n \Gamma = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : \mathrm{dist}(z, \partial\Gamma) \le 2^{-n}\}$, then*

  $$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d^+(\gamma \cap \partial_n \Gamma) = 0. \tag{21}$$

- *The following moment relations holds.*

  $$\mathbb{E}[\mu(\Gamma)] = \int_D G(z) \, dA(z), \qquad \mathbb{E}[\mu(\Gamma)^2] = \int_D \int_D G(z, w) \, dA(z) \, dA(w), \tag{22}$$

  $$\mathbb{E}\left[Z(\Gamma)^2\right] < \infty. \tag{23}$$

The bulk of the work in proving the theorem is to prove Theorem 3.2 below. Let $0 < \delta < \lambda \le 1/10$. Let $\tau_r(z) = \inf\{t : |\gamma(t) - z| = e^{-r}\}$. Let $J_r^\lambda(z)$ be as defined in (7), and let

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_r^{\lambda,\delta}(z) &= J_r^\lambda(z) - J_{r+\delta}^\lambda(z) \\
&= \frac{e^{r(2-d)}}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)} \left[1\{\tau_{r-s}(z) < \infty\} - e^{\delta(2-d)} \, 1\{\tau_{r+\delta-s}(z) < \infty\}\right] ds \\
&= \frac{e^{r(2-d)}}{\lambda} \int_{\lambda-\delta}^\lambda e^{s(d-2)} \, 1\{\tau_{r-s}(z) < \infty\} \, ds \\
&\qquad - \frac{e^{(r+\delta)(2-d)}}{\lambda} \int_{-\delta}^0 e^{s(d-2)} \, 1\{\tau_{r+\delta-s}(z) < \infty\} \, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that there exists C such that

$$|Q_r^{\lambda,\delta}(z)| \le C \, e^{r(2-d)} \, 1\{\tau_{r-\lambda}(z) < \infty\} \le C \, e^{r(2-d)} \, 1\{\tau_{r-.1}(z) < \infty\}. \tag{24}$$

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose $\kappa < 8$ and γ is SLE_κ from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{H}$. For every $0 < \delta < \lambda \leq 1/10$, there exist $c < \infty, \beta > 0$, such that if $\mathrm{Im}(z), \mathrm{Im}(w) \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$, then*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Q_r^{\lambda,\delta}(z)\, Q_r^{\lambda,\delta}(w)\right] \leq c\, e^{-r\beta}\, |z-w|^{\beta-2}. \tag{25}$$

We will not try to find the optimal β in our proof. Although we do not need it, the proof shows that there exists a single β that works for all $0 < \delta < \lambda \leq 1/10$. The constant c in the proof, however, does depend on λ, δ.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 given Theorem 3.2. It suffices to prove the result for each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}^+$, and by scaling we may assume that $\Gamma = [j, j+1) \times i\,[k, k+1) \in \mathcal{Q}_0^+$ with $k \geq 1$. Suppose that $0 < \delta < \lambda \leq 1/10$. Let

$$J_r^\lambda = J_r^\lambda(\Gamma) = \int_\Gamma J_r^\lambda(z)\, dA(z),$$

$$Q_r = Q_r^{\lambda,\delta}(\Gamma) = J_r^\lambda - J_{r+\delta}^\lambda = \int_\Gamma Q_r^{\lambda,\delta}(z)\, dA(z),$$

Note that $\mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap \Gamma; r) \leq e^{\lambda(2-d)r}\, J_r^\lambda$. By integrating (25), we see that if $r \geq 0$, then $\mathbb{E}\left[Q_r^2\right] \leq c\, e^{-\beta r}$. Let

$$X_n = X_n^{\lambda,\delta} = J_0^\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^n |Q_{j\delta}|.$$

Then X_n converges in L^2 to a random variable X_∞. For each n, $|J_{n\delta}^\lambda| \leq X_\infty$ and hence

$$\sup_{r \geq 0} J_r^\lambda \leq e^{\delta(2-d)} \max_n J_{n\delta} \leq e^{1/10}\, X_\infty,$$

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d^+(\gamma \cap \Gamma; 0) \leq e^{\lambda(2-d)r} \sup_{r \geq 0} J_r^\lambda \leq e^{1/5}\, X_\infty.$$

This establishes (23). Also, if $n \leq m$,

$$|J_{n\delta}^\lambda - J_{m\delta}^\lambda| \leq X_\infty - X_n.$$

Therefore $\{J_{n\delta}^\lambda\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^2 and has an L^2-limit which we call J_∞. If $r\delta \leq s < (r+1)\delta$, we similarly have

$$\mathbb{E}[(J_s^\lambda - J_{r\delta}^\lambda)^2] = \mathbb{E}[(Q_{r\delta}^{s-\delta})^2] \leq c\, e^{-\beta r}, \tag{26}$$

so we see that

$$J_\infty^\lambda = \lim_{r \to \infty} J_r^\lambda,$$

where the limit is in L^2.

Chebyshev's inequality shows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{P}\{|Q_{n\delta}| \geq e^{-\beta n\delta/4}\} \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\mathbb{E}[Q_{n\delta}^2]}{e^{-\beta n\delta/2}} < \infty.$$

Hence by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, with probability one for all n sufficiently large.

$$\left|J^\lambda_{n\delta} - J^\lambda_{(n+1)\delta}\right| \leq 2\, e^{-\beta n\delta/4}.$$

This shows that with probability one, the sequence $\{J^\lambda_{n\delta}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence with probability one,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} J^\lambda_{n\delta} = J^\lambda_\infty.$$

for all positive rational δ. If $n\delta \leq r \leq (n+1)\delta$, then

$$e^{\delta(d-2)}\, J^\lambda_{(n+1)\delta} \leq J^\lambda_r(z) \leq e^{\delta(2-d)}\, J^\lambda_{n\delta}(z), \tag{27}$$

from which we conclude that with probability one, $J^\lambda_r \to J^\lambda_\infty$. Therefore, with probability one, for all positive rational $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d{}^\lambda(\Gamma \cap \gamma) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \int_\Gamma J^\lambda_r(z)\, dA(z) = J^\lambda_\infty.$$

Lemma 2.3 shows that on the event that this occurs, $J^\lambda_\infty = J_\infty$ is independent of λ and

$$\mu(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Cont}_d(\Gamma \cap \gamma) = J_\infty.$$

Since the limit is in L^2, we have for every $\lambda \leq 1/10$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mu(\Gamma)\right] = \lim_{r\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[J^\lambda_r], \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\mu(\Gamma)^2\right] = \lim_{r\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[(J^\lambda_r)^2\right],$$

from which we can conclude (22).

To get (21), we cover $\partial_n\Gamma$ by squares $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ and do the same argument to conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{Cont}^+_d(\gamma \cap \partial_n\Gamma)\right] = 0.$$

Since this is a decreasing sequence of random variables, we conclude that (21) holds with probability one.

□

3.2 Natural length

By Theorem 3.1, with probability one we can define a function on $\mathcal{Q}$ by

$$\mu(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap \Gamma) = \mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap \mathrm{int}(\Gamma)) = \mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap \overline{\Gamma}).$$

Proposition 3.3. *On this event, μ extends to be a Borel measure.*

Proof. If $\hat\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}$, let $\mathcal{S}_{\hat\Gamma}$ denote the set of finite unions of dyadic square that are subsets of $\hat\Gamma$. This gives a set function μ on finite unions of squares in $\mathcal{S}_{\hat\Gamma}$. For each $\hat S$, the function μ is clearly finitely additive on $\mathcal{S}_{\hat S}$, and a standard topological argument shows that it is, in fact, countably additive on $\mathcal{S}_{\hat S}$. The measure μ satisfies the Carathéodory condition, and from this one see that it extends to a Borel measure on $\hat S$. Since this is true for every $\hat S \in \mathcal{Q}$, we get a Borel measure on $\mathbb{H}$. □

We call μ the *(natural) occupation measure* for the SLE curve γ. If D is an open set, then we can find $D_n \in \mathcal{S}$ increasing to D and hence

$$\mathbb{E}[\mu(D)] = \int_D G(z)\, dA(z), \quad \mathbb{E}[\mu(D)^2] = \int_{D\times D} G(z,w)\, dA(z)\, dA(w).$$

It is not immediately obvious, but we will now show, that with probability one, for all $0 \leq s < t < \infty$,

$$\mu\left(\gamma[s,t]\right) = \mu\left(\gamma_t \setminus \gamma_s\right) = \mathrm{Cont}_d\left(\gamma[s,t]\right). \tag{28}$$

The bulk of the work is in the following lemma. Recall that H_s is the unbounded component of $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_s$, and let

$$\partial_n H_s = \{z \in \overline{H}_s : \mathrm{dist}(z, \partial H_s) \leq 2^{-n}\}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that the following holds with probability one.*

- *For each t_0, there exists $n_0 < \infty$ such that if $0 \leq s \leq t_0$ and $n \geq n_0$, then*

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d^+(\gamma[s, s+2^{-n}]) \leq 2^{-n\alpha}. \tag{29}$$

- *Suppose that $0 \leq s < t$, and $u > 0$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d^+[\gamma[s+u,t] \cap \partial_n H_s] = 0. \tag{30}$$

The limit (30) is immediate for $\kappa \leq 4$ since $\gamma[s+u,t] \cap \partial_n H_s$ is empty if n is large. Before proving the lemma, we will show how to deduce (28) from the lemma. We approximate $\gamma[s,t]$ by finite unions of dyadic squares. If $s < t$ and n is a positive integer, let $V_n(s,t)$ denote the union of all $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ satisfying $\Gamma \subset H_s \setminus \partial_n H_s$ and $\gamma[s,t] \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$. Let $O_n(s,t) = \gamma \cap V_n(s,t)$. Note that $O_n(s,t) \subset \gamma \setminus \gamma_s$, but it is possible for $\gamma(t,\infty) \cap O_n(s,t)$ to be nonempty. Note that if $u > 0$, then

$$O_n(s,t) \setminus \gamma[s,t] \subset \gamma[t,t+u] \cup \left(\gamma[t+u,\infty) \cap \partial_{n-1} H_t\right),$$

$$\gamma[s,t] \setminus O_n(s,t) \subset \gamma[s,s+u] \cup \left[\gamma(s+u,\infty) \cap \partial_{n-2} H_s)\right].$$

(Here we use the simple geometric facts that $O_n(s,t) \subset \partial_{n-1} H_t$, and that if $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$, then either $\Gamma \subset H_s \setminus \partial_n H_s$ or $\Gamma \subset \partial_{n-2} H_s$.) The lemma implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d^+\left[\gamma[s,t] \setminus O_n(s,t)\right] + \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d^+\left[O_n(s,t) \setminus \gamma[s,t]\right] = 0.$$

Then (28) follows from (6). The remainder of this subsection will be devoted to proving the lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $Z(\Gamma)$ be defined as in Theorem 3.1. There exists $c < \infty$ such that if $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n^+$, then*

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(\Gamma)] \leq c\, G(\Gamma), \quad \mathbb{E}[Z(\Gamma)^2] \leq c\, 2^{-dn}\, G(\Gamma).$$

Proof. If $\tilde{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{Q}_n^+$, then $\Gamma = 2^n \tilde{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{Q}_0^+$ with $G(\Gamma) = 2^{dn} G(\tilde{\Gamma})$. Also, the distribution of $Z(\Gamma)$ is the same as that of $2^{dn} Z(\tilde{\Gamma})$. Hence, we may assume that $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_0^+$.

If $\mathrm{dist}(0,\Gamma) \leq 10$, then $G(\Gamma) \asymp 1$ and we can use (23). Otherwise, let τ be the first time that $\mathrm{dist}(\Gamma, \gamma(t)) = 8$. By (8), $\mathbb{P}\{\tau < \infty\} \asymp G(\Gamma)$, and by distortion estimates we can see that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Z(\Gamma) \mid \tau < \infty\right] \leq c.$$

$\square$

Corollary 3.6. *With probability one, if $R < \infty$, then for n sufficiently large, $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ with* $\mathrm{dist}(0,\Gamma) \leq R$,

$$Z(\Gamma) \leq n\, 2^{-dn/2}.$$

Proof. By Chebyshev's inequality, if $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$

$$\mathbb{P}\{Z(\Gamma) \geq n\, 2^{-nd/2}\} \leq n^{-2}\, 2^{nd}\, \mathbb{E}[Z(\Gamma)^2] \leq c\, n^{-2}\, G(\Gamma).$$

Hence if V is any bounded set

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n, \Gamma \subset V} \mathbb{P}\{Z(\Gamma) \geq n\, 2^{-nd/2}\} \leq c \int_V G(z)\, dA(z) < \infty.$$

The result follows from the Borel-Cantelli lemma. $\square$

Let

$$\partial_n \mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : \mathrm{Im}(z) \leq 2^{-n}\}.$$

Lemma 3.7. *With probability one, if $R < \infty$ and $u > 0$, then for all n sufficiently large*

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d^+\left(\gamma \cap \partial_n \mathbb{H} \cap \{|z| \leq R\}\right) \leq u\, 2^{-n}.$$

In particular, for each t_0, for all n sufficiently large,

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d^+\left(\gamma[0,t_0] \cap \partial_n \mathbb{H}\right) \leq u\, 2^{-n}.$$

Proof. The argument is the same for all R; for ease, we let $R = 1$ and write $V_n = \partial_n \mathbb{H} \cap \{|z| \leq 1\}$. We will first show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{\mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap V_n; n \log 2) \geq 2^{-n}\} < \infty. \tag{31}$$

Since $V_n \subset \bigcup_{|j| \leq 2^n} \Gamma_n(j,0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap V_n; n \log 2) &\leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap \Gamma_n(j,0); n \log 2) \\ &\leq 6\, 2^{-2n}\, 2^{(2-d)n} \sum_{|j| \leq 2^n} 1\{\gamma \cap \Gamma_n(j,0) \neq \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate (9) implies that $\mathbb{P}\{\gamma \cap \Gamma_n(j,0) \neq \emptyset\} \leq c\, j^{1-4a}$. If we choose β with $1 < \beta < d - (2-4a)_+$, we can see that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap V_n; n \log 2)\right] \leq c\, 2^{-n\beta},$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap V_n; n \log 2) \geq 2^{-n}\} \leq c\, 2^{-n(\beta-1)}.$$

This gives (31), and by the Borel-Cantelli lemma with probability one for all n sufficiently large,

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(\gamma \cap V_n; n \log 2) \leq 2^{-n}.$$

It follows that for n sufficiently large, if $m \geq n$,

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d\left(\gamma \cap V_n; m\, \log 2\right) \leq 2^{-m} + \mathrm{Cont}_d\left(\gamma \cap (V_n \setminus V_m); m\, \log 2\right),$$

and hence

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d^+\left(\gamma \cap V_n\right) \leq 2^{2-d} \sup_{m \geq n} \mathrm{Cont}_d\left(\gamma \cap (V_n \setminus V_m); m\, \log 2\right),$$

where the supremum on the right is restricted to integers m. Let $\mathcal{A}_n$ denote the set of all squares of the form $\Gamma_l(j,1), l, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, that intersect V_n. These squares are disjoint and

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d\left(\gamma \cap (V_n \setminus V_m); m\, \log 2\right) \leq \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_n} Z(\Gamma).$$

Hence,

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d^+\left(\gamma \cap V_n\right) \leq 2^{2-d} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_n} Z(\Gamma).$$

By Lemma 3.5,

$$\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_n} \mathbb{E}\left[Z(\Gamma)\right] \leq c \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_n} G(\Gamma) \leq c\, G(V_n).$$

As above, we find $\beta > 1$ such that $G(V_n) \leq c\, 2^{-n\beta}$, and hence

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{2^{2-d} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_n} Z(\Gamma) \geq 2^{-n}\right\} \leq\, 2^n\, \mathbb{E}\left[2^{2-d} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_n} Z(\Gamma)\right] \leq c\, 2^{n(1-\beta)}.$$

Hence, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, with probability one, for all n sufficiently large and all $m \geq n$,

$$2^{2-d} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}_n} Z(\Gamma) \leq 2^{-n}.$$

□

The next proposition finishes the proof of (29).

Proposition 3.8. *There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that with probability one for every $t < \infty$ for all n sufficiently large and all $s \leq t$,*

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d^+(\gamma[s, s + 2^{-n}]) \leq 2^{-n\alpha}.$$

Proof. It is known [2, 11] that the $SLE_\kappa, \kappa \neq 8$ curve is Hölder continuous with respect to the capacity parametrization. That is to say, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that with probability one, if $t < \infty$, then for n sufficiently large,

$$\mathrm{diam}\left(\gamma[s, s + 2^{-n}]\right) \leq 2^{-n\beta}.$$

Let m be the largest integer less than βn. Then $\gamma[s, s + 2^{-n}]$ is contained in the union four rectangles $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_4 \in \mathcal{Q}_m$. For n sufficiently large, if $\Gamma_j \in \mathcal{Q}_m^+$, then Corollary 3.6 implies that $\mathrm{Cont}_d^+(\Gamma_j \cap \gamma) \leq m\, 2^{-dm/2}$. If $\Gamma_j \in \mathcal{Q}_n \setminus \mathcal{Q}_n^+$, then Lemma 3.7 implies that $\mathrm{Cont}_d^+(\Gamma_j) \leq c\, e^{-m}$. The result follows for $\alpha < \beta\, d/2$.

□

In the remainder of this section, we prove (30). Let $U = U_{j,k} = \{x + iy : -2^k \leq x < 2^k, y \geq 2^{-j}\}$. Using Lemma 3.7 and compactness of $\gamma[t, u]$, we see that it suffices to prove that with probability one for every $s < u$ and all positive integers j, k,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathrm{Cont}_d^+[\gamma[u, \infty) \cap \partial_n H_s \cap U_{j,k}] = 0. \tag{32}$$

It suffices to consider rational s, u, and hence we need to show that for fixed s, u, j, k, (32) holds with probability one. By scaling it suffices to prove this for $j = 0$ which we now assume. We fix integer $k > 0$ and allow constants to depend on k. We only consider $n \geq k + 4$. Let $U = U_{0,k}$, and let $\mathcal{Q}_n(U)$ denote the set of $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ with $\Gamma \subset U$. Note that $G(\Gamma) \leq c\, 2^{-2n}$ if $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n(U)$.

We will now define a quantity $\hat{Z}(\Gamma)$ for $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}$ that is an upper bound for the Minkowki content of the intersection of the path "after one has gotten close to the square and then gotten away from the square". To be precise, suppose that $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ with center point z, and define the following quantities.

- $\xi_1 = \xi_1(\Gamma)$ is the first time t such that $|z - \gamma(t)| = 2^{-n+2}$.
- $\xi_2 = \xi_2(\Gamma)$ is the first time $t > \xi_1$ such that $|z - \gamma(t)| = 2^{-n/2}$.
- $\xi_3 = \xi_3(\Gamma)$ is the first time $t > \xi_1$ such that $|z - \gamma(t)| = 2^{-n+1}$.
- $\xi_4 = \xi_4(\Gamma)$ is the first time $t > \xi_2$ such that $|z - \gamma(t)| = 2^{-n+1}$.

We think of time ξ_4 as the time of the "second return" to the (neighborhood of the) square. We let $E_1(\Gamma)$ be the event $\{\xi_1 < \xi_3 < \xi_2 < \xi_4 < \infty\}$, $E_2(\Gamma)$ the event $\{\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \xi_3 = \xi_4 < \infty\}$, and $E(\Gamma) = E_1(\Gamma) \cup E_2(\Gamma) = \{\xi_4 < \infty\}$. We define $\hat{Z}(\Gamma)$ as follows. Off of the event

$E(\Gamma)$ we set $\hat{Z}(\Gamma) = 0$. On the event $E_1(\Gamma)$ we set $\hat{Z}(\Gamma) = 2^{n(2-d)}$. On the event $E_2(\Gamma)$ we set

$$\hat{Z}(\Gamma) = \mathrm{Cont}_d^+(\Gamma \cap \gamma; n \log 2).$$

Note that if $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$, then for all $m \geq n$,

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d(\Gamma \cap \gamma[\xi_2(\Gamma), \infty); m \log 2] \leq \hat{Z}(\Gamma).$$

We will use the following fact which states that once one gets close to z and then leaves, one is unlikely to return. It is a qualitative expression of the fact that the double points of SLE_κ curve have strictly smaller fractal dimension than the curve itself. It is an immediate corollary of Corollary 2.10.

Lemma 3.9. *There exist c, β such that if $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ with $\Gamma \subset \{\mathrm{Im}(z) \geq 1\}$, then $\mathbb{P}[E(\Gamma)] \leq c\, 2^{n(d-2)}\, 2^{-n\beta}$.*

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.5, we have on the event $E_2(\Gamma)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{Z}(\Gamma) \mid \gamma_{\xi_4}] \leq c\, 2^{n(2-d)},$$

and, hence, $\mathbb{E}[\hat{Z}(\Gamma)] \leq c\, 2^{-\beta n}$. Let

$$\hat{Z}_n = \hat{Z}_n(U) = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_m, \Gamma \subset U} \hat{Z}(\Gamma),$$

Then we see that $\mathbb{E}[\hat{Z}_n] \leq c\, 2^{-\beta n}$, and hence, with probability one for all n sufficiently large, $\hat{Z}_n \leq 2^{-\beta n/2}$. To establish (32), it therefore suffices to show that there exists c such that for n sufficiently large,

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d^+[(\gamma \setminus [\gamma_u \cup \partial_n H_s]) \cap U] \leq c\, \hat{Z}_n.$$

To show this it suffices to show for all integers $m \geq n$

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d[(\gamma \setminus [\gamma_u \cup \partial_n H_s]) \cap U; m \log 2] \leq c\, \hat{Z}_n.$$

Let $s < u$. For fixed $n < m$, let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{s,m,n}$ denote the set of $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_j(U), j = n, \ldots, m$ that satisfy $2^{-j+1} \leq \mathrm{dist}(\Gamma, \partial H_s) \leq 2^{-j+3}$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{s,m}$ denote the set of $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_m(U)$ that satisfy $\mathrm{dist}(\Gamma, \partial H_s) \leq 2^{-m+2}$. We claim that for each m, the squares in $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{C}$ cover $U \cap \partial_n H_s$. To see this, suppose that $z \in U \cap \partial_n H_s$. Then $\mathrm{dist}(z, \partial H_s) \leq 2^{-n}$. If $\mathrm{dist}(z, \partial H_s) \leq 2^{-m+2}$, then the unique $\Gamma \in \mathcal{Q}_m(U)$ containing z is in $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathrm{dist}(z, \partial H_s) > 2^{-m+2}$ find j such that $2^{-j+2} < \mathrm{dist}(z, \partial H_s) \leq 2^{-j+3}$. Let Γ be the unique square in $\mathcal{Q}_j(U)$ that contains z and note that $2^{-j+1} \leq \mathrm{dist}(\Gamma, \partial_n H_s) \leq 2^{-j+3}$.

If $\Gamma \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{C}$, then $\xi_1(\Gamma) \leq s$. For n sufficiently large, capacity estimates imply that $\mathrm{diam}(\gamma_u \setminus \gamma_s) \geq 2^{-n/4}$, and hence $\xi_2 \leq u$. Using this we see that for each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{C}$,

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d[\gamma[u, \infty) \cap \Gamma; m \log 2] \leq \hat{Z}(\Gamma).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathrm{Cont}_d[\gamma[u, \infty) \cap U \cap \partial_n H_s; m \log 2] \leq \hat{Z}_n.$$

4 Proof of Theorem 3.2

Throughout this section we fix $0 < \delta < \lambda \leq 1/10$ and constants may depend on δ, λ.

4.1 Some reductions.

Suppose $\text{Im}(z), \text{Im}(w) \geq 1$, and let $J_r(z) = J_r^\lambda(z), Q_r(z) = Q_r^{\lambda,\delta}(z)$ as in Section 3.1. Since $Q_r(z)\, Q_r(w) = 0$ if $\tau_{r-1}(z) = \infty$ or $\tau_{r-1}(w) = \infty$, in order to prove (25) it suffices by symmetry to prove that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Q(z)\, Q(w); \tau_{r-1}(z) < \tau_{r-1}(w)\right] \leq c\, e^{-\beta r}\, |z-w|^{\beta-2}. \tag{33}$$

By (24) and (13), we know that if $|z-w| \leq e^{-ur}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[Q_r(z)\, Q_r(w)\right] &\leq c\, e^{2r(2-d)}\, \mathbb{P}\{\tau_r(z) < \infty, \tau_r(w) < \infty\} \\
&\leq c\, |z-w|^{d-2} \\
&\leq c\, |z-w|^{d-3}\, e^{-ur}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence it suffices to find $u > 0$ and c, β such that (33) holds for $|z-w| \geq e^{-ur}$.

Let α be as in (12), and suppose that $s > 0$. Choose $u > 0$ with $u[2(2-d)+\alpha] \leq \alpha s/2$. Then if $|z-w| \geq e^{-ur}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[Q_r(z)\, Q_r(w); \tau_{sr}(w) \leq \tau_{r-1}(z) \leq \tau_{r-1}(w)\right] \\
&\qquad \leq c\, e^{2r(2-d)}\, \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{sr}(w) \leq \tau_{r-1}(z) \leq \tau_{r-1}(w)\} \\
&\qquad \leq c\, e^{2r(2-d)}\, \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{sr-ur+ur}(w) \leq \tau_{r-1-ur+ur}(z) \leq \tau_{r-1-ur+ur}(w)\} \\
&\qquad \leq c\, e^{2r(2-d)}\, e^{2(r-ur)(d-2)}\, e^{-\alpha(sr-ur)} \\
&\qquad \leq c\, e^{ru[2(2-d)+\alpha]}\, e^{-\alpha sr} \leq c\, e^{-\alpha sr/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this we see that in order to prove (33) it suffices to prove the following.

- There exist $s > 0, \beta > 0, c < \infty$ such that if $\text{Im}(z), \text{Im}(w) \geq 1$ and $|z-w| \geq e^{-ur}$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Q(z)\, Q(w); \tau_{r-1}(z) < \tau_{sr}(w) < \tau_{r-1}(w)\right] \leq c\, e^{-\beta r}\, |z-w|^{\beta-2}. \tag{34}$$

This is what we will establish in this section.

4.2 One-point estimate

As is often the case, an important step in getting a two-point estimate is to get a very sharp one-point estimate with good control on the error terms. Much of the necessary analysis has been done for SLE, and we review some of the methods here.

We will consider chordal SLE_κ from 1 to $w = e^{2i\theta}$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, and we will study how close the path gets to the origin. We parametrize the SLE_κ path γ using the radial parametrization. To be specific, we let D_t denote the unbounded component of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \gamma_t$ containing the origin and $g_t : D_t \to \mathbb{D}$ the unique conformal transformation with $g_t(0) = 0, g_t(\gamma(t)) = 1$. The radial parametrization is defined so that $|g_t'(0)| = e^t$. The total lifetime of the curve in this parametrization, T, is finite with probability one. (If $\kappa > 4$, T is not the time that the curve reaches w, but rather the time at which the curve disconnects the origin from w. Although the SLE curves continues after this time, the domain D_t does not change so we do not need to consider the path after time T.) We write $w_t = e^{2i\theta_t} = g_t(w)$. The path γ_t, and hence the transformations g_t, are determined by $\theta_s, 0 \leq s \leq t$. If $t > T$, then $g_t = g_T$. If $t + u \leq T$, we define the transformation g_t^u by $g_{u+t} = g_t^u \circ g_u$. The maps g_t^u are the same as the maps generated by $\theta_{t,u} := \theta_{u+t}$. We let $\mathbb{P}_\theta, \mathbb{E}_\theta$ denote probabilities and expectations given by chordal SLE_κ from 1 to $e^{2i\theta}$. The angle θ_t satisfies a simple one-dimensional SLE. Its form is a little nicer if we consder a linear time change. If $\hat{\theta}_t = \theta_{2at}$, then $\hat{\theta}_t$ satisfies the "radial Bessel equation"

$$d\hat{\theta}_t = (1 - 2a) \cot \hat{\theta}_t \, dt + dB_t,$$

where B_t is a standard Brownian motion. This equation is valid until the time $\hat{T} = T/2a$ at which $\tilde{\theta}_{\hat{T}} = \theta_T \in \{0, \pi\}$.

Let $\Delta_t = \operatorname{dist}(0, \gamma_t)$. The Koebe (1/4)-theorem implies that for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$e^{-t-\log 4} \leq \Delta_t \leq e^{-t}. \tag{35}$$

Let $S_t = S_{D_t}(0; \gamma(t), 1) = S_{\mathbb{D}}(0; w_t, 1) = \sin \theta_t$. Itô's formula shows that

$$M_t = 1\{T > t\} \, e^{t(2-d)} \, S_t^{4a-1}$$

is a local martingale; more precisely, $\hat{M}_t = M_{2at}$ satisfies

$$d\hat{M}_t = (4a - 1) \, [\cot \hat{\theta}_t] \, \hat{M}_t \, dB_t, \quad t < \hat{T}.$$

In fact, M_t is a continuous martingale with $\mathbb{P}\{M_\infty = 0\} = 1$.

We write $f_t = g_t^{-1}$. Let $\mathbb{D}_r$ denote the open disk of radius e^{-r} about the origin with closure $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_r$, and

$$\tau_r = \inf\{t : \Delta_t = e^{-r}\} = \inf\{t : \gamma(t) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_r\},$$

$$J_r = \frac{e^{r(2-d)}}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)} \, 1\{T \geq \tau_{r-s}\} \, ds = \frac{e^{r(2-d)}}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)} \, 1\{\gamma \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r-s} \neq \emptyset\} \, ds.$$

Note that (35) implies that

$$r - \frac{3}{2} < r - \log 4 \leq \tau_r \leq r.$$

If $r \geq 3, 0 \leq s \leq 1/10$, then on the event $\{T \geq r - 2\}$, we define $V_{r,s} = g_{r-2}(\mathbb{D}_{r-s}), V_r = V_{r,0}$. We can use distortion estimates (Lemma 2.1) to see that there exist $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \infty$ such that if $0 \leq s \leq 1/10$ and $T > r - 2$, then

$$\mathbb{D}_{c_2} \subset V_{r,s} \subset \mathbb{D}_{c_1}.$$

Conformal invariance implies that on the event $\{T > r-2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{r(d-2)}\,\mathbb{E}[J_r \mid \gamma_{r-2}] &= \frac{1}{\lambda}\int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)}\,\mathbb{P}\{\gamma \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r-s} \neq \emptyset \mid \gamma_{r-2}\}\;ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda}\int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)}\,\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{r-2}}\{\gamma \cap \overline{V}_{r,s} \neq \emptyset\}\;ds \end{aligned}$$

The measure obtained by tilting by the martingale M_t is called *two-sided* SLE_κ *(from* 0 *to* $e^{2i\theta}$ *in* $\mathbb{D}$ *going through the origin stopped when it reaches the origin).* We will write $\mathbb{P}^*, \mathbb{E}^*$ for probabilities and expectations with respect to this measure. These measures depend on the initial angle θ and we will write $\mathbb{P}^*_\theta, \mathbb{E}^*_\theta$ if we wish to make this explicit. If X is a random variable that depends only on γ_t, then

$$\mathbb{E}^*_\theta(X) = [\sin\theta]^{1-4a}\,\mathbb{E}_\theta\left[X\,M_t\right] = [\sin\theta]^{1-4a}\,e^{t(2-d)}\,\mathbb{E}_\theta\left[X\,S_t^{4a-1}\,1\{T > t\}\right],$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta\left[X\,1\{T > t\}\right] = e^{(d-2)t}\,[\sin\theta]^{4a-1}\,\mathbb{E}^*_\theta\left[X\,S_t^{1-4a}\right]. \tag{36}$$

In particular, if $r \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\theta\left[J_r\right] &= \mathbb{E}_\theta\left[\mathbb{E}[J_r \mid \gamma_{r-2}]\right] \qquad (37) \\ &= [\sin\theta]^{4a-1}\,e^{2(2-d)}\,\mathbb{E}^*_\theta\left[\frac{[\sin\theta_{r-2}]^{1-4a}}{\lambda}\int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)}\,\mathbb{P}\{\gamma \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r-s} \neq \emptyset \mid \gamma_{r-2}\}\,ds\right] \qquad (38) \\ &= [\sin\theta]^{4a-1}\,e^{2(2-d)}\,\mathbb{E}^*_\theta\left[\frac{[\sin\theta_{r-2}]^{1-4a}}{\lambda}\int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)}\,\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{r-2}}\{\gamma \cap \overline{V}_{r,s} \neq \emptyset\}\,ds\right] \end{aligned}$$

One has to take some care in reading the formulas on the right-hand side. The conditional probabilities inside the expectation are with respect to the untilted measure $\mathbb{P}$, but the expectation is with respect to the tilted measure $\mathbb{E}^*_\theta$. Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta\left[J_{r+\delta}\right] = [\sin\theta]^{4a-1}\,e^{(2+\delta)(2-d)}\,\mathbb{E}^*_\theta\left[\frac{[\sin\theta_{r-2}]^{1-4a}}{\lambda}\int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)}\,\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{r-2}}\{\gamma \cap \overline{V}_{r,s-\delta} \neq \emptyset\}\,ds\right].$$

The Girsanov theorem shows that under the measure $\mathbb{E}^*_\theta$,

$$d\hat\theta_t = a\,\cot\hat\theta_t\,dt + dW_t, \tag{39}$$

where, as before, $\hat\theta_t = \theta_{2at}$ and W_t is a standard Brownian motion with respect to the titled measure. This equation has an invariant probability density which we denote by μ with density

$$\phi(\theta) = C_{4a}\,[\sin\theta]^{4a}, \quad C_{4a}^{-1} = \int_0^\pi \sin^{4a}\,\theta\,d\theta.$$

Moreover, the rate of convergence to equilibrium is exponential (see, e.g., [10, Section 2.1.1]). To be more explicit, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that if $\phi_t(\theta;\theta_0)$ is the density at time t given initial conditon θ_0, then

$$\phi_t(\theta;\theta_0) = f(\theta)\,[1 + O(e^{-\alpha t})]. \tag{40}$$

If we use apply this to (36) with $X \equiv 1$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta\{T > t\} = c_* \, e^{(d-2)t} \, [\sin\theta]^{4a-1} \, [1 + O(e^{-\alpha t})],$$

where

$$c_* = \int_0^\pi [\sin\theta]^{1-4a} \, \phi(\theta) \, d\theta = 2 \, C_{4a}^{-1}.$$

In particular, we see that for $r \geq 1/10$,

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta\{T > \tau_r\} \asymp [\sin\theta]^{4a-1} \, e^{(d-2)r},$$

and if $r \geq 3, 0 \leq s \leq 1/10$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{r-2}}\{\gamma \cap V_{r,s} \neq \emptyset\} \asymp [\sin\theta_{r-2}]^{4a-1}.$$

The following useful coupling result follows immediately from (40).

Proposition 4.1. *There exists $c < \infty, \alpha > 0$ such that if $0 < \theta_0, \tilde{\theta}_0 < \pi$, then we can define $\theta_t, \tilde{\theta}_t$ on the same probability space (Ω, P) such that θ_t has the distribution $\mathbb{P}^*_\theta$, $\tilde{\theta}_t$ has distribution $\mathbb{P}^*_{\theta'}$, and for all $u > 0$,*

$$P\{\theta_t = \tilde{\theta}_t \text{ for all } t \geq u\} \geq 1 - c \, e^{-\alpha u}.$$

Sketch. We use the coupling obtained by running processes satisfying (39) with independent Brownian motions until the first time that the collide at which time the particles coalesce. The key observation is that there is a $\rho > 0$ such that no matter where the particles are at time t, there is a probability at least ρ that they will intersect by time $t + 1$. Hence the probablity of not coupling by time n is no more than $(1 - \rho)^n$. $\square$

If $u > 0$, we write $g_{r+u} = g_r^u \circ g_u$. Let us define the random variables

$$Y_r = \frac{e^{2(2-d)} \, [\sin\theta_{r-2}]^{1-4a}}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda e^{s\,(d-2)} \, \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{r+s} \leq T \mid \gamma_{r-2}\} \, dt,$$

$$Z_r = \frac{e^{(2+\delta)(2-d)} \, [\sin\theta_{r-2}]^{1-4a}}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda e^{s\,(d-2)} \, \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{r+s+\delta} \leq T \mid \gamma_{r-2}\} \, dt,$$

so that

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta[J_r] = [\sin\theta]^{4a-1} \, \mathbb{E}^*_\theta[Y_r],$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta[J_{r+\delta}] = [\sin\theta]^{4a-1} \, \mathbb{E}^*_\theta[Z_r].$$

Lemma 4.2. *There exists $c < \infty$ such that if $\theta_t = \tilde{\theta}_t$ for $t \geq u$ and $r \geq 3$,*

$$|Y_{u+r} - \tilde{Y}_{u+r}| + |Z_{u+r} - \tilde{Z}_{u+r}| \leq c \, e^{-r} [\sin\theta_r]^{1-4a}.$$

Proof. Let us write $g_{u+r} = g_r^u \circ g_u, \tilde{g}_{u+r} = g_r^u \circ \tilde{g}_u$. Our assumptions imply that the transformation g_r^u is the same for both g_{u+r} and $\tilde{g}_{u+r}$. Since g_u is a conformal transformation of D_u onto $\mathbb{D}$ with $g_u(0) = 0, |g_u'(0)| = e^u$, we can use the distortion theorem (see Lemma 2.1) to find a c_0 such that

$$\mathbb{D}_{r+s+c_0e^{-r}} \subset g_u(\mathbb{D}_{r+u+s}) \subset \mathbb{D}_{r+s-c_0e^{-r}},$$

and similarly for $\tilde{g}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{u+r-s} \leq t \mid \gamma_{r+u}\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{\tau_{u+r-s-2c_0e^{-r}} \mid \tilde{\gamma}_{r+u}\},$$

and similarly with $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}$ interchanged.

□

We now consider the invariant measure where θ_0 is chosen according to the stationary distribution for the two-sided radial. In this case θ_t is also in the invariant distribution. Let us write $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_\pi^*$ for expectations with respect to this distribution.

Proposition 4.3. *There exists* $\hat{c} \in (0, \infty)$, $c < \infty$ *and* $\alpha > 0$ *such that for all* $r \geq 3$ *and all* $\theta \in (0, \pi)$,

$$|\mathbb{E}_\theta^*[Y_u] - \hat{c}| \leq c\, e^{-r\alpha},$$

$$|\mathbb{E}_\theta^*[Z_u] - \hat{c}| \leq c\, e^{-r\alpha}.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta\{\tau_r < \infty\} = \hat{c}\, [\sin\theta]^{4a-1}\, e^{r(d-2)}\, [1 + O(e^{-\alpha r})].$$

We note that the last expression in the proposition implies that $\hat{c}$ does not depend on λ.

Proof. Let

$$\hat{c}_\lambda(r) = \mathbb{E}_\pi^*[Y_r].$$

Here we have made explicit the dependence on λ. We still allow implicit constants to depend on λ. We claim that if $u > 0$,

$$\hat{c}_\lambda(r + u) = \hat{c}_\lambda(r) + O(e^{-r}). \tag{41}$$

To see we write $g_{r+u} = g_r^u \circ g_u$. The distortion estimates imply that there exists c_0 such that if $|z| = e^{-(u+r)}$, then

$$e^{-r-c_0e^{-r}} \leq |g_u(z)| \leq e^{-r+c_0e^{-r}}.$$

Let

$$Y_r^\pm = \frac{e^{2(2-d)}\, [\sin\theta_r]^{1-4a}}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda e^{s\,(d-2)}\, 1\{\tau_{r+s\pm c_0e^{-r}} \leq T \mid \gamma_{r-2}\}\, dt,$$

The distortion estimate implies that

$$\mathbb{E}_\pi^*[Y_r^+(g_r^u)] \leq \mathbb{E}_\pi^*[Y_{r+u}(g_u)] \leq \mathbb{E}_\pi^*[Y_r^-(g_r^u)].$$

Since we are in the invariant distribution, the distribution on g_r^u is the same as that of g_r. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}_\pi^*[Y_r^+] \leq \mathbb{E}_\pi^*[Y_{r+u}] \leq \mathbb{E}_\pi^*[Y_r^-].$$

It is easy to see that $|Y_r - Y_r^\pm| = [\sin\theta]^{1-4a}\, O(e^{-r})$ (where the implicit constant depends on λ). This gives (41). From this we immediately get the existence of a limit value $\hat{c}_\lambda$ such that $\hat{c}_\lambda(r) = \hat{c}_\lambda + O(e^{-r})$.

We can now appeal to Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, to see that for all θ,

$$[\sin\theta]^{1-4a}\, \mathbb{E}_\theta[J_r] = \mathbb{E}_\theta^*[Y_r] = \hat{c}_\lambda(r) + O(e^{-\alpha r}) = \hat{c}_\lambda + O(e^{-\alpha r}).$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{e^{r(2-d)}}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)}\, \mathbb{P}_{\pi/2}\{\tau_{r+s} \leq T\}\, ds = \hat{c}_\lambda. \tag{42}$$

This implies,

$$e^{\lambda(d-2)}\, \hat{c}_\lambda \leq \liminf_{r\to\infty} e^{r(2-d)}\, \mathbb{P}_{\pi/2}\{\tau_r \leq T\} \leq \limsup_{r\to\infty} e^{r(2-d)}\, \mathbb{P}_{\pi/2}\{\tau_r \leq T\} \leq e^{\lambda(2-d)}\, \hat{c}_\lambda.$$

This holds for all $0 < \lambda < 1/10$ and by letting λ go to zero we see that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} e^{r(2-d)}\, \mathbb{P}_{\pi/2}\{\tau_r \leq T\} = \hat{c}, \quad \hat{c} = \lim_{\lambda\downarrow 0} \hat{c}_\lambda. \tag{43}$$

Plugging this back into (42), we see that $\hat{c}_\lambda = \hat{c}$ for all $\lambda \leq 1/10$.

The same argument works for Z_u and since we get (43) again, the constant $\hat{c}$ must be the same. ☐

By conformal invariance we can conclude a one-point estimate for SLE_κ in any simply connected domain.

Proposition 4.4. *There exists $c < \infty$ such that the following is true. Suppose D is a simply connected domain and γ is a chordal SLE_κ path from w_1 to w_2 in D. Let $G_D(z) = G_D(z; w_1, w_2)$ and*

$$J_r(z) = \frac{e^{r(2-d)}}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)}\, 1\{\mathrm{dist}(z,\gamma) \leq e^{-r+s}\}\, ds.$$

Suppose that $e^{-r} \leq \mathrm{dist}(z, \partial D)/10$. Then

$$\left| G_D(z)^{-1}\, \mathbb{E}[J_r(z)] - 1 \right| \leq c\, [e^r\, \mathrm{dist}(z, \partial D)]^{-\alpha}.$$

In particular, if $\delta > 0$,

$$|\mathbb{E}\left[J_r(z) - J_{r+\delta}(z)\right]| \leq c\, G_D(z)\, [e^r\, \mathrm{dist}(z, \partial D)]^{-\alpha}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $z = 0$ and let $R = \mathrm{dist}(0, \partial D)$. $F : D \to \mathbb{D}$ be the conformal transformation with $F(0) = 0, F(w_1) = 1, F(w_2) = e^{2i\theta}$ where $\sin\theta = S_D(z; w_1, w_2)$. The Schwarz lemma and Koebe (1/4)-theorem imply that $|F'(0)|/4 \le R \le |F'(0)|$. Suppose that $|F'(0)| = 1$, and let

$$\tilde{J}_r = \frac{e^{r(2-d)}}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)}\, 1\{|\tilde{\gamma}(t)| \le e^{-r+s}\}\, ds,$$

As seen before, distortion estimates imply that there exists c_0 such that if $|z| \le e^{-r+1}$,

$$|z|\,[1 - c_0 e^{-r}] \le |F(z)| \le |z|\,[1 + c_0 e^{-r}],$$

and hence $\tilde{J}_r = J_r[1+O(e^{-r})]$. In particular, $\mathbb{E}[J_r] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{J}_r]\,[1+O(e^{-r})] = \hat{c}\, G_D(0)\,[1+O(e^{-r})]$. If $|F'(0)| \ne 1$, we can first do a dilation.

□

With these results we can follow the proof in [9, Section 3], which proves the corresponding result with distance replaced by conformal radius, to conclude (14). Just instead of Lemma [9, 2.16], we need to have the corresponding one for the distance. Namely, we need the following.

Lemma 4.5. *Put*

$$\xi = \xi_\epsilon = \xi_{\epsilon,z} = \inf\{t \mid \mathrm{dist}(z, \gamma_t) < \epsilon\},$$

Fix $\epsilon < \eta/10 < R/10$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{H}$ *where* $R < \mathrm{Im}(z)/2$. *There exists some* c *depending only on* z *and* $\beta > 0$ *such that*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\gamma[\xi_\eta, \xi_\epsilon] \not\subset B_R(z) \mid \xi_\epsilon < \infty\} \le c\left(\frac{\eta}{R}\right)^\beta. \tag{44}$$

Proof. Take $r = \sqrt{\eta R}$. Define the events A and B as

$$A = \{\gamma[\xi_\eta, \xi_\epsilon] \not\subset B_R(z)\} \quad B = \{\gamma[\xi_r, \xi_{10\epsilon}] \subset B_R(z)\}.$$

By comparison to two-sided radial SLE (2.13 and 2.14 in [9]) we have

$$P(B \mid \xi_\epsilon < \infty) > 1 - c(\frac{r}{R})^\alpha = 1 - c(\frac{\eta}{R})^{\alpha/2},$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. So it is enough to estimate $P(A \cap B | \xi_\epsilon < \infty)$. We write it as

$$P(A \cap B \cap \{S_{\xi_{10\epsilon}} > \delta\} | \xi_\epsilon < \infty) + P(A \cap B \cap \{S_{\xi_{10\epsilon}} < \delta\} | \xi_\epsilon < \infty).$$

We have $P\{S_{\xi_{10\epsilon}} < \delta | \xi_\epsilon < \infty\} < c\delta^{4a-1}$ by Lemma 2.3 in [5] and $P\{\xi_\epsilon < \infty | S_{\xi_{10\epsilon}} > \delta\} > c\delta^{4a-1}$ by Theorem 2.5.

To estimate $P(A \cap B)$, first notice that there is just one arc on C_r that we have to cross to reach C_R by Lemma 2.1 in [5]. Then by Proposition 5.6 in [17], $P(A \cap B)$ is less than

$$c\left(\frac{10\epsilon}{r}\right)^{\frac{4a-1}{2}} < c\left(\frac{\eta}{R}\right)^{\frac{4a-1}{4}}.$$

Take $\delta = \left(\frac{\eta}{R}\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}$ and we are done by taking $\beta = \min\{\alpha/2, \frac{4a-1}{8}\}$.

Remark The constant that we get for $G(z, w)$ here in comparison to the one defined in [9] is $\hat{c}^2$ where $\hat{c}$ is the one that we get for one point Green's function. Also the same method shows that we can define $n-$ point Green's function and we expect that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Theta(\mathbb{D})^n] = \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} G(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) dA(z_1) dA(z_2) ... dA(z_n).$$

At the moment we can not prove it because we have no upper bound for $G(z_1, ..., z_n)$.

$\square$

4.3 Proof of (34)

We will now prove (34) for an appropriate $0 < u \leq 1/4$ that we will define below. We assume that $\mathrm{Im}(z), \mathrm{Im}(w) \geq 1$ and $|z - w| > e^{-r/4}$. It suffices to prove the result for $r > 4$ and hence $|z - w| > e^{-(r-2)/2}$.

Let $0 < q < 1/8$ be a parameter that we will choose later. Let $\tau_{r-2} = \tau_{r-2}(z), \tau_{r-1} = \tau_{r-1}(z), H = H_{\tau_{r-2}}(z), l_{3/4} = l_{3/4}(r-2, z), \lambda = \lambda(r-2, z, 3/4), \mathcal{B}_u = \mathcal{B}_u(r-2, z)$ be as Section 2.4. Recall that we are assuming that $|z - w| > e^{-(r-2)/2}$ and hence $w \notin \mathcal{B}_{1/2}$. Let $\mathcal{I}_r(z, w)$ be the indicator function of the event that $\tau_{r-1} < \tau_{qr}(w)$ and w is in the unbounded component of $H \setminus l_{3/4}$ and let $\mathcal{J}_r(z, w)$ be the indicator function of the event that w is in the bounded component of $H \setminus l_{3/4}$.

We consider two cases. First suppose that $\mathcal{J}_r(z, w) = 1$. Since w is in the bounded component and $|w - z| \notin \mathcal{B}_{1/2}$, There is a subarc l' of $\partial \mathcal{B}_{3/4} \cap V_{3/4}$ whose removal disconnects w from z. The Beurling estimate implies that the probability that a Brownian motion starting at w reaches l' without leaving H is bounded above by $c\, e^{-r/4}$. Hence $S_{\tau_{r-2}}(w) \leq c\, e^{-r/4}$, and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{r-1}(w) < \infty \mid \gamma_{\tau_{r-2}}\} &\leq c\, G_H(w; \gamma(\tau_{r-2}), \infty)\, e^{r(d-2)} \\
&\leq c\, S_{\tau_{r-2}}(w)^{4a-1}\, \mathrm{dist}(w, \gamma_{\tau_{r-2}})^{d-2}\, e^{r(d-2)} \\
&\leq c\, e^{-(a-\frac{1}{4})r}\, \mathrm{dist}(w, \gamma_{\tau_{r-2}})^{d-2}\, e^{r(d-2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We know from (12) that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathrm{dist}(w, \gamma_{\tau_{r-2}}) \leq e^s, \tau_{r-1} < \infty\} \leq c\, |w - z|^{d-2}\, e^{r(d-2)}\, e^{s(d-2)}.$$

By summing over positive integers $s \leq r$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{r-1} < \tau_{r-1}(w) < \infty,\ \mathcal{J}_r(z, w) = 1\} \leq c\, r\, |w - z|^{d-2}\, e^{2r(d-2)}\, e^{-(a-\frac{1}{4})r}.$$

In particular, if $|z - w| \geq e^{-ur}$, where $u = (a - \frac{1}{4})/[3(2 - d)]$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau_{r-1}(z) < \tau_{r-1}(w) < \infty,\ \mathcal{J}_r(z, w) = 1\} \leq c\, e^{2r(d-2)}\, e^{-(a-\frac{1}{4})r/2},$$

which implies that if $|z-w| \geq e^{-ur}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Q_r(z)\, Q_r(w)\, \mathcal{J}_r(z,w)\right] \leq c\, e^{-(a-\frac{1}{4})r/2}.$$

For the remainder we will assume that $\mathcal{I}_r(z,w)=1$. Let $\sigma = \sigma_{3/4}(r-2,z)$ as in Section 2.4. Let $\tilde{Q}_r(z)$ be the analogue of $Q_r(z)$ for the curve stopped at time σ,

$$\tilde{Q}_r(z) = \frac{e^{r(2-d)}}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda e^{s(d-2)} \left[1\left\{\tau_{r-s}(z) < \sigma\right\} - e^{\delta(2-d)}\, 1\left\{\tau_{r-s+\delta}(z) < \sigma\right\}\right] ds.$$

To establish our estimate, we will show that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{Q}_r(z)\, Q_r(w)\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)\right]\right| \leq c\, e^{-\beta r}, \tag{45}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|Q_r(z) - \tilde{Q}_r(z)|\, |Q_r(w)|\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)\right] \leq c\, e^{-\beta r}, \tag{46}$$

which together imply that

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[Q_r(z)\, Q_r(w)\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)\right]\right| \leq c\, e^{-\beta r}.$$

To prove (45), let $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ denote the σ-algebra generated by the curve γ_σ. Since $\tilde{Q}_r(z)\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)$ is $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ measurable,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{Q}_r(z)\, Q_r(w)\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{Q}_r(z)\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)\, \mathbb{E}(Q_r(w) \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma)\right],$$

and hence,

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{Q}_r(z)\, Q_r(w)\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)\right]\right| \leq \mathbb{E}\left[|\tilde{Q}_r(z)|\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)\, |\mathbb{E}(Q_r(w) \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma)|\right].$$

We appeal to Proposition 4.4 to see that

$$|\mathbb{E}(Q_r(w) \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma)| \leq c\, G_{H_\sigma}(w; \gamma(\sigma), \infty)\, [e^r \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial H_\sigma)]^\alpha \leq e^{qr(2-d)}\, e^{\alpha r(q-1)}.$$

In particular, if q is chosen sufficiently small so that $q(2-d) \leq \alpha(1-q)/2$,

$$|\mathbb{E}(Q_r(w) \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma)| \leq c\, e^{-\alpha r/2},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{Q}_r(z)\, Q_r(w)\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)\right]\right| &\leq c\, e^{-r\alpha/2}\, \mathbb{E}\left[|\tilde{Q}_r(z)|\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)\right] \\ &\leq c\, e^{-r\alpha/2}\, e^{r(2-d)}\, \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{I}_r(z,w)] \leq c\, e^{-r\alpha/2}.\end{aligned}$$

For (46), we observe that if $Q_r(z) \neq \tilde{Q}_r(z)$, then $\operatorname{dist}(z,\gamma) < e^{-\lambda r} = \operatorname{dist}(z, \gamma_\sigma)$. In other words,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|Q_r(z) - \tilde{Q}_r(z)|\, |Q_r(w)|\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)\right] \leq$$

$$c\, e^{2r(2-d)}\, \mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{I}_r(z,w) = 1, \mathrm{dist}(z,\gamma) < e^{-\lambda r}, \tau_{r-1}(w) < \infty\}.$$

We know that $\mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{I}_r(z,w) = 1\} \leq c\, e^{r(d-2)}$. Using Lemma 2.11 and the geometric argument in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we see that that there exists β such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{I}_r(z,w) = 1, \rho < \infty, \tau_{r-1}(w) < \infty \mid \mathcal{I}_r(z,w) = 1\} \leq c\, e^{-\beta\, r}\, e^{(1-q)\,(d-2)}.$$

If $q \leq \beta/(4-2d)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|Q_r(z) - \tilde{Q}_r(z)|\, |Q_r(w)|\, \mathcal{I}_r(z,w)\right] \leq ce^{-\beta r/2}.$$

References

[1] V. Beffara (2008). The dimension of SLE curves, Annals of Probab. **36**, 1421-1452.

[2] F. Johansson Viklund and G. Lawler (2011). Optimal Holder exponent for the SLE path, Duke Math. J. **159** , 351-383.

[3] G. Lawler (2005). *Conformally Invariant Processes in the Plane*, Amer. Math. Soc.

[4] G. Lawler (2009). Schramm-Loewner evolution, in *statistical mechanics*, S.Sheffield and T. Spencer, ed., IAS/Park City Mathematical Series, AMS (2009), 231-295.

[5] G. Lawler, Continuity of radial and two-sided radial SLE, arXiv:1104.1620.

[6] Lawler Rezaei, Basic properties of the natural parameteriztion for the Schramm-Loewner evolution, math arXiv:1203.3259.

[7] G. Lawler and S. Sheffield (2011). A natural parametrization for the Schramm-Loewner evolution. Annals of Probab. **39**, 1896–1937.

[8] G. Lawler. O. Schramm, and W. Werner (2004). Conformal invariance of planar loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees, Annals of Probab. **32**, 939–995.

[9] G. Lawler and B. Werness. Multi-point Green's function for SLE and an estimate of Beffara, to appear in Annals of Probab.

[10] G. Lawler and W. Zhou, SLE curves and natural parametrization, to appear in Annals of Probab.

[11] J. Lind (2008). Hölder regularity of the SLE trace, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 , **7**, 3557–3578.

[12] S. Rohde and O. Schramm (2005). Basic properties of SLE, Annals of Math. **161**, 879–920.

[13] O. Schramm (2000). Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees, Israel J. Math. **118**, 221–288.

[14] O. Schramm and S. Sheffield (2005). Harmonic explorer and its convergence to SLE(4), Annals of Probab. **33**, 2127–2148.

[15] S. Smirnov (2001). Critical percolation in the plane: Conformal invariance, Cardy's formula, scaling limits. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. **333** 239–244.

[16] S. Smirnov (2009). Conformal invariance in random cluster models. I. Holomorphic fermions in the Ising model, Ann of Math. **172** 1435–1467.

[17] B. Werness (2011). Regularity of Schramm-Loewner Evolutions, annular crossings, and rough path theory, preprint.